



PUBLIC DISCLOSURE

July 07, 2014

COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT ACT PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

First Financial Bank, National Association
Charter Number: **4166**

400 Pine Street
Abilene, TX 79601

Office of the Comptroller of the Currency
Fort Worth Field Office
9003 Airport Freeway
Suite 275
North Richland Hills, TX 76180-9127

NOTE: This document is an evaluation of this institution's record of meeting the credit needs of its entire community, including low- and moderate-income neighborhoods, consistent with safe and sound operation of the institution. This evaluation is not, and should not be construed as, an assessment of the financial condition of this institution. The rating assigned to this institution does not represent an analysis, conclusion, or opinion of the federal financial supervisory agency concerning the safety and soundness of this financial institution.

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|------------|
| DEFINITIONS AND COMMON ABBREVIATIONS..... | 2 |
| DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION | 6 |
| SCOPE OF THE EVALUATION | 8 |
| FAIR LENDING OR OTHER ILLEGAL CREDIT PRACTICES REVIEW..... | 10 |
| STATE RATING | 11 |
| APPENDIX A: SCOPE OF EXAMINATION | A-1 |
| APPENDIX B: SUMMARY OF STATE RATINGS | B-1 |
| APPENDIX C: MARKET PROFILES FOR FULL-SCOPE AREAS..... | C-1 |
| APPENDIX D: TABLES OF PERFORMANCE DATA..... | D-1 |

Institution’s CRA Rating: This institution is rated **Satisfactory**.

The following table indicates the performance level of **First Financial Bank, National Association** with respect to the lending, investment, and service tests:

| Performance Levels | (Name of Depository Institution) Performance Tests | | |
|---------------------------|---|-----------------|--------------|
| | Lending Test* | Investment Test | Service Test |
| Outstanding | | | |
| High Satisfactory | X | X | X |
| Low Satisfactory | | | |
| Needs to Improve | | | |
| Substantial Noncompliance | | | |

* The lending test is weighted more heavily than the investment and service tests when arriving at an overall rating.

The major factors that support this rating include:

- The bank originated a majority of loans inside its assessment areas (AAs);
- The bank’s lending activity is good when considering Community Development lending;
- The bank’s geographic distribution of home mortgage loans is adequate and the geographic distribution of small loans to businesses and small farms is adequate;
- The bank’s distribution of home mortgage loans by income level of the borrower is adequate;
- The bank’s distribution of loans to businesses with different revenue sizes is adequate;
- Community development lending had a significantly positive impact on the Lending Test in the Abilene MSA AA and the Texas Non-MSA AA, and a neutral impact in the Fort Worth - Arlington MD AA.
- The bank has good level of qualified community development investments that are responsive to community needs;
- The overall service delivery systems for First Financial are accessible to all portions of the AAs, commensurate with the size and scope of operations of the bank; and
- The bank’s performance in providing community development service in Texas is good.

Definitions and Common Abbreviations

The following terms and abbreviations are used throughout this performance evaluation, including the CRA tables. The definitions are intended to provide the reader with a general understanding of the terms, not a strict legal definition.

Affiliate: Any company that controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with another company. A company is under common control with another company if the same company directly or indirectly controls both companies. A bank subsidiary is controlled by the bank and is, therefore, an affiliate.

Aggregate Lending: The number of loans originated and purchased by all reporting lenders in specified income categories as a percentage of the aggregate number of loans originated and purchased by all reporting lenders in the Metropolitan Area (MA)/assessment area.

Census Tract: A small subdivision of metropolitan and other densely populated counties. Census tract boundaries do not cross county lines; however, they may cross the boundaries of metropolitan statistical areas. Census tracts usually have between 2,500 and 8,000 persons, and their physical size varies widely depending upon population density. Census tracts are designed to be homogeneous with respect to population characteristics, economic status, and living conditions to allow for statistical comparisons.

Community Development (CD): Affordable housing (including multifamily rental housing) for low- or moderate-income individuals; community services targeted to low- or moderate-income individuals; activities that promote economic development by financing businesses or farms that meet the size eligibility standards of the Small Business Administration's Development Company or Small Business Investment Company programs (13 CFR 121.301) or have gross annual revenues of \$1 million or less; or, activities that revitalize or stabilize low- or moderate-income geographies.

Effective September 1, 2005, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation have adopted the following additional language as part of the revitalize or stabilize definition of community development.

Activities that revitalize or stabilize-

- (i) Low-or moderate-income geographies;
- (ii) Designated disaster areas; or

Distressed or underserved nonmetropolitan middle-income geographies designated by the Board, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, and Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, based on- Rates of poverty, unemployment, and population loss; or

Population size, density, and dispersion. Activities that revitalize and stabilize geographies designated based on population size, density, and dispersion if they help to meet essential community needs, including needs of low- and moderate-income individuals.

Community Reinvestment Act (CRA): the statute that requires the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC) to evaluate a bank's record of meeting the credit needs of its local community, consistent with the safe and sound operation of the bank, and to take this record into account when evaluating certain corporate applications filed by the bank.

Consumer Loan(s): A loan(s) to one or more individuals for household, family, or other personal expenditures. A consumer loan does not include a home mortgage, small business, or small farm loan. This definition includes the following categories: motor vehicle loans, credit card loans, home equity loans, other secured consumer loans, and other unsecured consumer loans.

Family: Includes a householder and one or more other persons living in the same household who are related to the householder by birth, marriage, or adoption. The number of family households always equals the number of families; however, a family household may also include non-relatives living with the family. Families are classified by type as either a married-couple family or other family, which is further classified into 'male householder' (a family with a male householder' and no wife present) or 'female householder' (a family with a female householder and no husband present).

Full Review: Performance under the Lending, Investment, and Service Tests is analyzed considering performance context, quantitative factors (e.g., geographic distribution, borrower distribution, and total number and dollar amount of investments), and qualitative factors (e.g., innovativeness, complexity, and responsiveness).

Geography: A census tract delineated by the United States Bureau of the Census in the most recent decennial census.

Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (HMDA): The statute that requires certain mortgage lenders that do business or have banking offices in a metropolitan statistical area to file annual summary reports of their mortgage lending activity. The reports include such data as the race, gender, and the income of applications, the amount of loan requested, the disposition of the application (e.g., approved, denied, and withdrawn). Beginning in 2004, the reports also include data on loan pricing, the lien status of the collateral, any requests for preapproval and loans for manufactured housing.

Home Mortgage Loans: Such loans include home purchase, home improvement and refinancings, as defined in the HMDA regulation. These include loans for multifamily (five or more families) dwellings, manufactured housing and one-to-four family dwellings other than manufactured housing.

Household: Includes all persons occupying a housing unit. Persons not living in households are classified as living in group quarters. In 100 percent tabulations, the count of households always equals the count of occupied housing units.

Limited Review: Performance under the Lending, Investment, and Service Tests is analyzed using only quantitative factors (e.g., geographic distribution, borrower distribution, total number and dollar amount of investments, and branch distribution).

Low-Income: Individual income that is less than 50 percent of the area median income, or a median family income that is less than 50 percent, in the case of a geography.

Market Share: The number of loans originated and purchased by the institution as a percentage of the aggregate number of loans originated and purchased by all reporting lenders in the MA/assessment area.

Median Family Income (MFI): The median income determined by the U.S. Census Bureau every ten years and used to determine the income level category of geographies. Also, the median income

determined by the Department of Housing and Urban Development annually that is used to determine the income level category of individuals. For any given area, the median is the point at which half of the families have income above it and half below it.

Metropolitan Area (MA): Any metropolitan statistical area or metropolitan division, as defined by the Office of Management and Budget and any other area designated as such by the appropriate federal financial supervisory agency.

Metropolitan Division (MD): As defined by Office of Management and Budget, a county or group of counties within a Metropolitan Statistical Area that contains a population of at least 2.5 million. A Metropolitan Division consists of one or more counties that represent an employment center or centers, plus adjacent counties associated with the main county or counties through commuting ties.

Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA): An area, defined by the Office of Management and Budget, as having at least one urbanized area that has a population of at least 50,000. The Metropolitan Statistical Area comprises the central county or counties, plus adjacent outlying counties having a high degree of social and economic integration with the central county as measured through commuting.

Middle-Income: Individual income that is at least 80 percent and less than 120 percent of the area median income, or a median family income that is at least 80 percent and less than 120 percent, in the case of a geography

Moderate-Income: Individual income that is at least 50 percent and less than 80 percent of the area median income, or a median family income that is at least 50 percent and less than 80 percent, in the case of a geography.

Multifamily: Refers to a residential structure that contains five or more units.

Other Products: Includes any unreported optional category of loans for which the institution collects and maintains data for consideration during a CRA examination. Examples of such activity include consumer loans and other loan data an institution may provide concerning its lending performance.

Owner-Occupied Units: Includes units occupied by the owner or co-owner, even if the unit has not been fully paid for or is mortgaged.

Qualified Investment: A qualified investment is defined as any lawful investment, deposit, membership share, or grant that has as its primary purpose community development.

Rated Area: A rated area is a state or multi-state metropolitan area. For an institution with domestic branches in only one state, the institution's CRA rating would be the state rating. If an institution maintains domestic branches in more than one state, the institution will receive a rating for each state in which those branches are located. If an institution maintains domestic branches in two or more states within a multi-state metropolitan area, the institution will receive a rating for the multi-state metropolitan area.

Small Loan(s) to Business(es): A loan included in 'loans to small businesses' as defined in the Consolidated Report of Condition and Income (Call Report) and the Thrift Financial Reporting.

instructions. These loans have original amounts of \$1 million or less and typically are secured either by nonfarm or by nonresidential real estate or are classified as commercial and industrial loans.

Small Loan(s) to Farm(s): A loan included in ‘loans to small farms’ as defined in the instructions for preparation of the Call Report. These loans have original amounts of \$500,000 or less and are secured by farmland, or are classified as loans to finance agricultural production and other loans to farmers.

Tier One Capital: The total of common shareholders’ equity, perpetual preferred shareholders’ equity with non-cumulative dividends, retained earnings and minority interests in the equity accounts of consolidated subsidiaries.

Upper-Income: Individual income that is at least 120 percent of the area median income, or a median family income that is at least 120 percent, in the case of a geography.

Description of Institution

First Financial Bank, National Association (First Financial, or the bank) is a full-service, intrastate bank headquartered in Abilene, Texas. As of December 31, 2013, First Financial reported total assets of \$5.25 billion, total loans of \$2.7 billion, total deposits of \$4.2 billion, and Tier One Capital of \$420 million. According to the FDIC Deposit Market Share Report, dated June 30, 2013, First Financial had deposits of \$4.2 billion and was ranked 17th in the state of Texas.

The bank is wholly owned by First Financial Bankshares, Inc. (FFIN). At year-end 2012, FFIN merged eleven separately chartered banks into one charter, resulting in the current First Financial. In addition to the bank, FFIN owns and operates a Technology Services company and a Trust company.

First Financial primarily engages in the traditional banking activities of generating deposits and originating loans. As of December 31, 2013, First Financial operated 60 full services branches across the State of Texas. The bank's footprint encompasses 24 counties and 43 communities.

First Financial offers a full range of loan and deposit products to businesses and individuals. Deposit products include alternative retail services, including direct deposit, and reduced checking accounts, and access to electronic banking services such as bill payment, mobile banking, and e-statements. First Financial offers a standard product mix of loans including FHA and VA loans. As of December 31, 2013, approximately 63 percent of the bank's loan portfolio was comprised of real estate loans, of which 1-4 family residential properties and commercial real estate represented the predominant portion of the real estate portfolio. Commercial and industrial loans made up 22 percent of the total loan portfolio. Loans to individuals for household, family, and personal expenditures comprised 12 percent of the portfolio.

First Financial has eleven AAs within the State of Texas that were reviewed during this CRA evaluation. These AAs include:

- Abilene MSA, comprised of Callahan, Jones and Taylor counties;
- Fort Worth - Arlington MD, comprised of Johnson, Parker, Tarrant, and Wise counties;
- a portion of the Dallas - Plano - Irving MD, comprised of Denton and Ellis counties;
- Odessa MSA, comprised of Ector County;
- San Angelo MSA, comprised of Tom Green county; and
- ten nonmetropolitan counties geographically dispersed across the state (TX Non-MSA AA) as follows:
 - Non-MSA AA comprised of contiguous counties of Eastland, Erath, Hood, Palo Pinto and Somervell counties;
 - Non-MSA AA comprised of Deaf Smith county;
 - Non-MSA AA comprised of Fisher county;
 - Non-MSA AA comprised of Nolan county;
 - Non-MSA AA comprised of Shackelford county; and
 - Non-MSA AA comprised of Walker county.

In May 2013, First Financial acquired a bank in Orange, Texas. This purchase included five branches located in the Beaumont – Port Arthur MSA and Newton County. The bank added two assessment areas

for the Orange branches, a portion of the Beaumont – Port Arthur MSA, including Jefferson and Orange counties, and the non-MSA county of Newton. As of December 2013, financial information and reports were not fully incorporated into the bank’s financial and record keeping systems, including HMDA and CRA loan reports. The Orange bank, its deposits, loans and branches were not considered as a part of this CRA evaluation due to the lack of a full year of information.

First Financial received an overall rating of “Satisfactory” during the previous CRA examination conducted in October 2010. Our review of the OCC records and the bank's CRA Public File did not disclose any complaints relating to the bank's CRA performance since the last performance evaluation. There are no known legal, financial, or other factors impeding the bank’s ability to help meet the credit needs of its assessment areas.

Scope of the Evaluation

Evaluation Period/Products Evaluated

We analyzed home purchase, home improvement, and home refinance mortgage loans First Financial reported under the HMDA for the period January 1, 2010 through December 31, 2013. We also analyzed small loans made to businesses and farms the bank reported under the CRA for the period January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2013. Multifamily loans are not a primary loan product; therefore, we did not evaluate this product separately. Primary loan products for this review are products in which the bank originated at least 20 loans within an AA during the evaluation period.

We reviewed CD loans, investments, and services for the period October 1, 2010 through June 30, 2014. For Texas, the rated state, there are three sets of performance tables in Appendix D, numbered 1 through 15. The first set includes bank data from 2013 compared to 2010 Census data and for the consolidated bank with multiple AAs, including Abilene MSA, Fort Worth - Arlington MD, Dallas - Plano - Irving MD, Odessa MSA, San Angelo MSA and TX Non-MSA Counties. The second set includes bank data from 2012 compared to 2010 Census data. The third and final set includes bank loan data from 2010 through 2011 compared to 2000 Census data. The second and third sets of tables include information for the First Financial Bank, Abilene and its original branches located in the following AAs: Abilene MSA, Odessa MSA and Shackelford County. The tables are discussed in the applicable narrative sections of the evaluation.

Data Integrity

As part of our ongoing supervision of the bank, we tested the accuracy of the bank's HMDA and CRA lending data. We reviewed the 2012 and 2013 HMDA reports for accuracy during the 2014 Safety and Soundness examination. As part of the CRA evaluation, we reviewed the appropriateness of community development activities provided. This included testing of community development loans, investments, and services for accuracy and to determine if they qualify as community development, as defined in the CRA regulation. Our testing indicated no substantive inaccuracies in the data. We concluded that the home mortgage loans, small loans to businesses, investment information and CD activities data provided for this evaluation were generally accurate and could be relied upon.

Selection of Areas for Full-Scope Review

We selected three AAs for a full-scope review for the 2013 time period and one AA for full-scope reviews for the other two time frames. For 2013, the Abilene MSA, Fort Worth - Arlington MD and the Texas Non-MSA assessment areas received full-scope reviews. In the other two periods, 2012 and 2010 through 2011, the Abilene MSA AA was evaluated according to full-scope procedures.

Full-scope reviews consider performance context, quantitative and qualitative factors. In general, the AAs selected for full-scope reviews were chosen because they represented a significant portion of the bank's deposit base and lending business. The other AAs in the state were analyzed using limited-scope procedures. Limited-scope procedures consider quantitative factors only.

Ratings

In reaching our conclusions for this evaluation, we generally placed equal weight on the bank's performance in the three time periods. The Abilene MSA AA carried the greatest weight in our conclusions due to this area representing the bank's most significant market throughout the three time periods included in this CRA evaluation. Also, First Financial's overall rating and the State of Texas rating are based on a blend of results in those areas that received full-scope reviews in 2013, 2012 and 2010 through 2011 time periods.

For the 2013 evaluation period, the Abilene MSA, Fort Worth - Arlington MD and the Texas Non-MSA AAs received full-scope reviews and carried equal weight in our conclusions due to these areas representing the bank's most significant market in terms of deposit concentrations, branch distribution, and CRA-reportable loans. At December 31, 2013, the Abilene MSA represented 31 percent of total deposits, 24 percent of the branch network, and 25 percent of the CRA-reportable loans during the evaluation period. The Fort Worth – Arlington MD AA represented 25 percent of total deposits, 33 percent of the branch network, and 28 percent of the CRA-reportable loans. The Texas Non-MSA counties AA represented 30 percent of total deposits, 31 percent of the branch network, and 33 percent of the CRA reportable loans.

For the 2012 and the 2010 through 2011 periods, the Abilene MSA AA was the only area receiving a full scope review. The Abilene MSA AA was considered the primary factor in evaluating performance, as it represented the substantial majority of the bank's deposits, branch distribution and CRA-reportable loans during these two evaluation periods.

Inside/Outside Ratio

The inside/outside ratio is a bank-wide calculation, and not calculated by individual rating area or AA. The analysis is limited to bank originations and purchases, and does not include any affiliate data. The bank originated a majority of all loan products inside its AAs over the combined four-year evaluation period. The total number of loans originated and purchased inside the bank's AAs equates to an 86.6 percent inside ratio. When considering both originated and purchased loans, the percentage in number of loans made inside the AAs by loan type are as follows: home refinance loans (90.20 percent), home improvement loans (92.77 percent), home purchase loans (87.31 percent), farm loans (77.41 percent) and small business loans (85.34 percent).

Fair Lending or Other Illegal Credit Practices Review

Pursuant to 12 C.F.R. 25.28(c), or 12 C.F.R. 195.28(c), in determining a national bank's (bank) or Federal savings association's (FSA) CRA rating, respectively, the OCC considers evidence of discriminatory or other illegal credit practices in any geography by the bank or FSA, or in any assessment area by an affiliate whose loans have been considered as part of the bank's or FSA's lending performance.

We found no evidence of discriminatory or other illegal credit practices inconsistent with helping to meet community credit needs.

State Rating

State of Texas

| | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| CRA Rating for Texas: | Satisfactory |
| The lending test is rated: | High Satisfactory |
| The investment test is rated: | High Satisfactory |
| The service test is rated: | High Satisfactory |

The major factors that support this rating include:

- First Financial originated a majority of loans inside its assessment areas.
- The bank's lending activity is good when considering the level of CD lending;
- The bank's geographic distribution of home mortgage loans is adequate and the geographic distribution of small loans to businesses and farms is also adequate;
- First Financial's distribution of home mortgage loans by income level of the borrower is adequate;
- The bank's distribution of loans to businesses with different revenue sizes is adequate;
- Community development lending had a significantly positive impact on the Lending Test in the Abilene MSA AA and the Texas Non-MSA AA, and a neutral impact in Fort Worth – Arlington MD AA;
- The bank has an overall good level of qualified community development investments that are responsive to community needs;
- The overall service delivery systems for First Financial are accessible to all portions of the AAs, commensurate with the size and scope of operations of the bank; and
- First Financial provides a good level of community development services when considering loan modifications for low- and moderate-income borrowers.

Description of Institution's Operations in Texas

First Financial is solely located in the state of Texas. First Financial Bank is a full-service, intrastate bank headquartered in Abilene, Texas. As of December 31, 2013, First Financial reported total assets of \$5.25 billion, total loans of \$2.7 billion, total deposits of \$4.2 billion, and Tier One Capital of \$420 million. According to the FDIC Deposit Market Share Report, dated June 30, 2013, First Financial had deposits of \$4.2 billion and was ranked 17th in the state of Texas.

First Financial has eleven AAs within the state of Texas that will be evaluated. These AAs include:

- Abilene MSA, comprised of Callahan, Jones and Taylor counties;
- Fort Worth - Arlington MD, comprised of Johnson, Parker, Tarrant, and Wise counties;
- a portion of the Dallas - Plano - Irving MD, comprised of Denton and Ellis counties;
- Odessa MSA, comprised of Ector County;
- San Angelo MSA, comprised of Tom Green county; and
- ten nonmetropolitan counties geographically dispersed across the state (TX Non-MSA AA) as follows:
 - Non-MSA AA comprised of contiguous counties of Eastland, Erath, Hood, Palo Pinto and Somervell counties;
 - Non-MSA AA comprised of Deaf Smith county;
 - Non-MSA AA comprised of Fisher county;
 - Non-MSA AA comprised of Nolan county;
 - Non-MSA AA comprised of Shackelford county; and
 - Non-MSA AA comprised of Walker county.

In May 2013, First Financial acquired a bank in Orange, Texas. This purchase included five branches located in the Beaumont – Port Arthur MSA and Newton County. The bank added two assessment areas for the Orange branches, a portion of the Beaumont – Port Arthur MSA, including Jefferson and Orange counties, and the non-MSA county of Newton. As of December 2013, financial information, HMDA data and reports were not fully incorporated into the bank's financial and record keeping systems. The Orange bank, its deposits, loans and branches were not considered as a part of this CRA evaluation due to the lack of a full year of information.

Scope of Evaluation in Texas

For the State of Texas and for the 2013 period, we completed a full-scope review of the Abilene MSA, the Fort Worth - Arlington MD and the combined TX Non-MSA AA counties. The Dallas – Plano - Irving MD, Odessa MSA and San Angelo MSA AAs received limited-scope reviews. The Abilene MSA, Fort Worth MSA and the TX Non-MSA counties represent 86% of the deposits and 88% of the branches. Refer to the table in Appendix A for more information on the Texas Assessment Areas in 2013.

For the other periods, 2012 and 2010 through 2011, we completed a full-scope review of the Abilene MSA AA and limited-scope reviews of the Odessa MSA AA and Shackelford County, a non-MSA county. Refer to the table in Appendix A for more information on the Texas Assessment Areas in 2012 and 2010 through 2011.

LENDING TEST

Conclusions for Areas Receiving Full-Scope Reviews

First Financial’s performance under the Lending Test in Texas is rated “High Satisfactory.” This rating is based on areas receiving full-scope reviews for the three evaluation periods. The bank’s performance in the Abilene MSA is good in all three periods. The bank’s performance in the Fort Worth - Arlington MD is adequate. In the nonmetropolitan areas, the TX Non-MSA AA, the bank’s performance is good. The Fort Worth - Arlington MD and Texas Non-MSA AAs were evaluated for the 2013 time period.

Lending Activity

The bank’s overall lending activity in the state of Texas is good, considering its significantly positive Community Development lending in the Abilene MSA and Texas Non-MSA counties AAs, the bank’s adequate geographic distribution of mortgage loans and loans to small businesses and small farms, and the adequate borrower distribution of home mortgage loans.

Refer to Table 1 Lending Volume in Appendix D for the facts and data used to evaluate the bank’s lending activity.

Abilene MSA AA

First Financial’s lending activity in the Abilene MSA AA is good. Based on FDIC Deposit Market Share data as of June 30, 2013, First Financial achieved a 43 percent market share of deposits, ranking 1st among financial institutions in the AA. Based upon Peer Data, First Financial achieved a 13.2 percent market share of home purchase loans, a 60.69 percent market share of home improvement loans, and a 13.9 percent market share of home refinance loans. The bank achieved 20.52 percent market share of small loans to businesses and 40.88 percent market share of small loans to farms. These market shares are good when compared to deposit market share and competition within the AA.

TX Non-MSA AA

First Financial's lending activity in the TX Non-MSA AA is good. Based on FDIC Deposit Market Share data as of June 30, 2013, First Financial achieved a 28.06 percent market share of deposits, ranking 1st among financial institutions in the AA. Based upon Peer Data, First Financial achieved a 1.24 percent market share of home purchase loans, an 8.10 percent market share of home improvement loans, and a 1.09 percent market share of home refinance loans. The bank achieved 2.72 percent market share of small loans to business and 11.06 percent market share of small loans to farms. The bank's market shares are adequate when compared to deposit market share and competition within the AA.

Fort Worth MSA AA

First Financial's lending activity in the Fort Worth – Arlington MD AA is adequate. Based on FDIC Deposit Market Share data as of June 30, 2013, First Financial achieved less than 1.0 percent market share of deposits, ranking 21st among financial institutions in the Dallas – Fort Worth – Arlington MSA. Based upon Peer Data, the bank did not achieve a 1.0 percent market share of home purchase, home improvement or home refinance loans. The Fort Worth – Arlington MD is a competitive market and First Financial has a limited presence in the area.

Distribution of Loans by Income Level of the Geography

Overall, the bank's geographic distribution of loans is adequate. In performing our analysis, we placed greater weight on the bank's home mortgage lending, as home mortgage loans represented the majority of the bank's CRA-reportable lending activity. In reaching our conclusions for this evaluation, we generally placed equal weight on the bank's performance in the three periods. To reach a final conclusion in certain areas, we placed more weight on the more recent period, 2013, compared to the bank's performance during the periods of 2012 and 2010 through 2011. The Abilene MSA AA carried the greatest weight in our conclusions due to this area representing the bank's most significant market throughout the entire evaluation period.

Home Mortgage Loans

Overall, the geographic distribution of home mortgage loans is adequate.

Refer to Tables 2, 3, 4 and 5 in Appendix D for the facts and data used to evaluate the geographic distribution of the bank's home mortgage loan originations/purchases.

Abilene MSA AA

The geographic distribution of the bank's lending in the Abilene MSA AA is adequate. The level of owner-occupied housing in low- and moderate-income tracts was considered. The Abilene MSA AA had two low-income tracts and eleven moderate-income tracts, based on 2010 Census data, which were used to evaluate lending distribution during 2013 and 2012. There were only 670 owner-occupied units in low-income tracts and approximately 7,270 units in moderate-income tracts. The low-income tracts contained less than 2.0 percent of the owner-occupied housing units in the assessment area, indicating

very limited lending opportunities. Moderate-income tracts contained 18.26 percent of the owner-occupied housing units. Based on this information, home mortgage lending opportunities in low- and moderate-income geographies in the Abilene MSA AA is somewhat limited.

The Abilene MSA AA had no low-income tracts and 11 moderate-income tracts based on 2000 Census data, which was used to evaluate lending distribution in 2010 through 2011. There were approximately 6,670 owner-occupied units in moderate-income tracts. Moderate-income tracts contained 17.54 percent of the owner-occupied housing units. Based on this information, home mortgage lending opportunities in low- and moderate-income geographies in this AA is somewhat limited.

Home Purchase Loans

The overall geographic distribution of home purchases loans is adequate based on the bank's performance in the 2013, 2012 and the 2010 through 2011 periods. The bank has limited opportunities in low- and moderate-income geographies in the Abilene MSA AA. More weight was placed on the analysis in moderate-income tracts given better lending opportunities relative to low-income census tracts.

First Financial's geographic distribution of home purchase loans during 2013 was adequate, based on the bank's excellent market share performance. The percentage of loans in low-income geographies was significantly below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies, but was impacted by limited opportunities. In moderate-income geographies, the percentage of loans was also significantly below the percentage of owner-occupied units in moderate-income geographies, reflecting very poor performance. The bank's market share in low-income census tracts exceeded its overall market share for home purchase loans. Its market share in moderate-income census tracts is near to its overall market share for home purchase loans.

The bank's geographic distribution of home purchase loans during 2012 was adequate. The percentage of loans in low-income geographies was below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies, which reflects adequate performance. The percentage of loans made in moderate-income geographies was significantly below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The bank's market share in low-income census tracts exceeded its overall market share for home purchase loans. Its market share in moderate-income census tracts is near to its overall market share for home purchase loans.

The bank's geographic distribution of home purchase loans during 2010 through 2011 period was poor. In this period, there were no low-income census tracts. In moderate-income geographies, the percentage of loans was significantly below the percentage of owner-occupied units in moderate-income geographies. The bank's market share of moderate-income census tracts was below its overall market share for home purchase loans.

Home Improvement Loans

The overall geographic distribution of home improvement loans is adequate. Again, the bank has limited opportunities in low- and moderate-income geographies in the Abilene MSA AA. More weight was placed on the analysis in moderate-income tracts given better lending opportunities relative to low-income tracts.

First Financial's geographic distribution of home improvement loans during 2013 was adequate. The percentage of loans in low-income geographies was significantly below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies, but was impacted by limited opportunities. The portion of loans made in moderate-income census tracts was below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies, and considered adequate. The bank's market share for home improvement loans in low-income census tracts was below its overall market share for home improvement loans. The bank's market share in moderate-income census tracts was near to its overall market share for home improvement loans.

The bank's geographic distribution of home improvement loans during 2012 was adequate. The percentage of loans in low-income geographies was below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies, and was impacted by limited opportunities. The percentage of loans made in moderate-income geographies was below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. First Financial's market share in low-income census tracts was below its overall market share for home improvement loans. The bank's market share in moderate-income census tracts exceeds its overall market share for home improvement loans.

First Financial's geographic distribution of home improvement loans during 2010 and 2011 was adequate and consistent with the performance noted in 2012 and 2013. The portion of loans made in moderate-income census tracts was below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies, and considered adequate. The bank's market share for home improvement loans in moderate-income census tracts was below its overall market share for home improvement loans.

Home Refinance Loans

The overall geographic distribution of home refinance loans is poor with the bank's performance in 2013 and 2012 recorded as poor. The bank's performance in 2010 through 2011 was adequate.

The bank's performance in 2013 was poor. The percentage of loans in low-income geographies was significantly below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies, but was impacted by limited opportunities. The percentage of loans made in moderate-income census tracts was also significantly below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. First Financial's market share in low-income geographies was below its overall market share and the bank's performance in moderate-income geographies was near to its overall market share.

The bank's performance in geographic distribution of home refinance loans in 2012 was poor. The percentage of loans in low-income geographies was significantly below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies, but was impacted by limited opportunities. The percentage of loans made in moderate-income census tracts was also significantly below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The bank's market share in low-income census tracts was below its overall market share for home refinance loans. The bank's market share in moderate-income census tracts was near to its overall market share for home refinance loans.

The bank's performance in 2010 through 2011 was adequate. The percentage of loans made in moderate-income census tracts was significantly below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The bank's market share in moderate-income geographies exceeds its overall market share.

Texas Non-MSA AA

Overall, the geographic distribution of the bank's home mortgage lending is good. The level of owner-occupied housing in low- and moderate-income tracts was considered. The Texas non-MSA AA had one low-income census tract and eight moderate-income census tracts based on 2010 Census data, which was used to evaluate lending distribution during 2013. There were only 646 owner-occupied units in the low-income census tracts and 4,526 units in moderate-income census tracts. The low-income census tracts contained only 1.06 percent of the owner-occupied housing units in the assessment area, indicating very limited lending opportunities. Moderate-income census tracts contained 7.02 percent of the owner-occupied housing units. Based on this information, home mortgage lending opportunities in low-and moderate- income geographies in this AA is somewhat limited.

The Texas Non-MSA AA was not evaluated for the 2012 and the 2010 through 2011 periods because the bank did not consolidate its separately chartered banks until December 31, 2012.

Home Purchase Loans

The overall geographic distribution of home purchase loans for the Texas Non-MSA AA is good. The bank's geographic distribution of home purchase loans during 2013 was good. The percentage of loans in low-income geographies was significantly below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies, but was impacted by limited opportunities. The percentage of loans in moderate-income census tracts exceeded the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The bank's market share in low-income census tracts was significantly below its overall market share for home purchase loans. The bank's market share in moderate-income census tracts exceeded its overall market share for home purchase loans.

Home Improvement Loans

The overall geographic distribution of home improvement loans is good. The bank's geographic distribution of home improvement loans during 2013 was good, with more weight placed on the analysis in moderate-income tracts given more lending opportunities relative to low-income tracts. The percentage of loans in low-income geographies was significantly below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies, but was impacted by limited opportunities. The percentage of loans in moderate-income geographies exceeded the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The bank's market share in low-income census tracts was below its overall market share for home improvement loans. The bank's market share in moderate-income census tracts was below its overall market share for home improvement.

Home Refinance Loans

The overall geographic distribution of home refinance loans is poor. The bank's geographic distribution of refinance loans during 2013 was adequate. The percentage of loans in low-income geographies was significantly below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies, and was impacted by limited opportunities. The percentage of loans in moderate-income geographies was also significantly below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The bank's market share in low-income census tracts was significantly below its overall market share for home refinance loans. The bank's market share in moderate-income census tracts exceeded its overall market share for home refinance loans.

Fort Worth – Arlington MD AA

Overall, the geographic distribution of the bank's home mortgage lending is adequate. The level of owner-occupied housing in low- and moderate-income census tracts was considered. The Fort Worth – Arlington MD AA contains 415 census tracts. Low-income census tracts comprise 8.19 percent and the moderate-income census tracts comprise 27.47 percent. There were almost 17,000 owner-occupied units in the low-income tracts. The low-income tracts contained 3.47 percent of the owner-occupied housing units in the assessment area, indicating limited lending opportunities. Moderate-income tracts contained 19.93 percent of the owner-occupied housing units. The Fort Worth - Arlington MD AA is a very competitive market. Based on this information, home mortgage lending opportunities for the bank in low- and moderate-income geographies in this AA is somewhat limited.

The Fort Worth – Arlington MD AA was not evaluated for the 2012 and the 2010 through 2011 periods because the bank did not consolidate charters and the bank did not delineate the Fort Worth – Arlington MD AA until December 31, 2012.

Home Purchase Loans

The overall geographic distribution of home purchase loans for the Fort Worth – Arlington MD AA is poor. The bank's geographic distribution of home purchase loans during 2013 was poor. The percentage of loans in low-income geographies was significantly below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The percentage of loans in moderate-income census tracts is well below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. Since the bank's overall market share in this AA was less than 1.0 percent, a market share analysis was not meaningful.

Home Improvement Loans

The overall geographic distribution of home improvement loans is adequate. The bank's geographic distribution of home improvement loans during 2013 was adequate. The percentage of loans in low-income geographies was significantly below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies, but was impacted by limited opportunities. The percentage of loans in moderate-income geographies is below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies. The bank's market share is below 1.0 percent and not considered a meaningful analysis.

Home Refinance Loans

The overall geographic distribution of home refinance loans is adequate. The bank's geographic distribution of refinance loans during 2013 was adequate. The percentage of loans in low-income geographies was significantly below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies, and was impacted by limited opportunities. The percentage of loans in moderate-income geographies was below the percentage of owner-occupied units in these geographies, representing good performance. Since the bank's overall market share in this AA was less than 1.0 percent, a market share analysis was not meaningful.

Small Loans to Businesses

Overall, the geographic distribution of small loans to businesses is good.

Refer to Table 6 in Appendix D for the facts and data used to evaluate the geographic distribution of the bank's origination/purchase of small loans to businesses.

Abilene MSA AA

The geographic distribution of small loans to businesses is good in the Abilene MSA AA. First Financial's performance in 2013 was good. The percentage of loans made in low-income geographies is near to its percentage of businesses in those geographies. The percentage of loans made in moderate-income geographies is near to the percentage of businesses in those geographies, reflecting good performance. The bank's market share in low-income geographies is below its overall market share for loans to small businesses. The bank's market share in moderate-income geographies is near to its overall market share for loans to small businesses.

The bank's geographic distribution of small loans to businesses in 2012 was also good. The percentage of loans made in low-income geographies was well below the percentage of businesses in those geographies. The percentage of loans made in moderate-income geographies substantially met the distribution of businesses in those geographies, reflecting good performance. The bank's market share in low-income geographies was below its overall market share for loans to small businesses. The bank's market share in moderate-income geographies is near to its overall market share for loans to small businesses.

The bank's performance for the 2010 through 2011 period was not evaluated. The bank did not submit CRA business loans prior to 2012.

Texas Non-MSA AA

The overall geographic distribution of small loans to businesses is adequate when considering the bank's performance in 2013. The portion of loans made in the low-income geography was well below the portion of small businesses in this geography, but the demographics reflect only one low-income census tract in this AA. The portion of loans made in moderate-income geographies was well below the portion of small businesses in these geographies. The overall market share of loans in low- and moderate-income geographies was well below the bank's market share.

The Texas Non-MSA AA was not evaluated for the 2012 and the 2010 through 2011 time periods because the bank did not consolidate charters with branches located in this AA until December 31, 2012.

Fort Worth – Arlington MD AA

The overall geographic distribution of small loans to businesses is good when considering the bank's performance in 2013. The portion of loans made in the low-income geography was significantly below the portion of small businesses in this geography. The portion of loans made in moderate-income geographies exceeds the portion of small businesses in these geographies. Since the bank's overall market share in this AA was less than 1.0 percent, a market share analysis was not meaningful.

The Fort Worth – Arlington MD AA was not evaluated for the 2012 and the 2010 through 2011 time periods because the bank did not consolidate charters with branches located in this AA until December 31, 2012.

Small Loans to Farms

Overall, the geographic distribution of small loans to farms is adequate.

Refer to Table 7 in Appendix D for the facts and data used to evaluate the geographic distribution of the bank's origination/purchase of small loans to farms.

Abilene MSA AA

The geographic distribution of small loans to farms is adequate in the Abilene MSA AA. First Financial's performance in 2013 was adequate. The percentage of loans made in low-income geographies exceeds its percentage of farms in those geographies. The percentage of loans made in moderate-income geographies was significantly below the percentage of farms in those geographies. The bank's market share in low-income geographies is below its overall market share for loans to small farms. The bank's market share in moderate-income geographies is near to its overall market share for loans to small farms.

The bank's geographic distribution of small loans to farms in 2012 was poor. The percentage of loans made in low-income geographies was significantly below the percentage of farms in those geographies. The percentage of loans made in moderate-income geographies also significantly below the percentage of farms in those geographies. The bank's market share in low-income geographies was significantly below its overall market share for loans to small farms. The bank's market share in moderate-income geographies was near to its overall market share for loans to small farms.

The bank's performance for the 2010 through 2011 time period was not evaluated. The bank did not submit CRA farm loans prior to 2012.

Texas Non-MSA AA

The overall geographic distribution of small loans to farms is adequate when considering the bank's performance in 2013. The portion of loans made in the low-income geography was significantly below the portion of small farms in this geography, but the demographics reflect only one low-income census tract in this AA. The portion of loans made in moderate-income geographies was near to the portion of small farms in these geographies. The overall market share of loans in low- and moderate-income geographies was well below the bank's market share.

The Texas Non-MSA AA was not evaluated for the 2012 and the 2010 through 2011 time periods because the bank did not consolidate charters with branches located in this AA until December 31, 2012.

Fort Worth – Arlington MD AA

The overall geographic distribution of small loans to farms is poor when considering the bank's performance in 2013. The portion of loans made in the low-income geography was significantly below the portion of small farms in this geography. The portion of loans made in moderate-income geographies was also significantly below the portion of small farms in these geographies. Since the bank's overall market share in this AA was less than 1.0 percent, a market share analysis was not meaningful.

The Fort Worth – Arlington MD AA was not evaluated for the 2012 and the 2010 through 2011 time periods because the bank did not consolidate charters with branches located in this AA until December 31, 2012.

Lending Gap Analysis

We reviewed summary reports and maps, and analyzed the bank's home mortgage, small business and small farm lending over the evaluation period to identify any gaps in the geographic distribution of loans. We did not identify any unexplained conspicuous gaps. The bank's AA consists of whole geographies, meets the requirements of the CRA regulation, and does not arbitrarily exclude any low- or moderate-income areas.

Distribution of Loans by Income Level of the Borrower

The overall borrower income distribution of the bank's lending is adequate. As noted previously, greater weight was placed on the bank's home mortgage lending, as home mortgage loans represented the majority of the bank's CRA-reportable lending activity.

Home Mortgage Loans

The overall borrower distribution of home mortgage loans is adequate.

Refer to Tables 8, 9 and 10 in Appendix D for the facts and data used to evaluate the borrower distribution of the bank's home mortgage loan originations and purchases.

Abilene MSA AA

Overall, the borrower distribution of home mortgage loans is adequate. In evaluating the borrower distribution of home loans in the Abilene MSA AA, we considered the poverty level. Approximately 14.0 percent of the households were below the poverty level based on 2000 Census data, which was considered in the evaluation of performance in 2010 and 2011. Approximately 15 percent of families were below the poverty level based on 2010 data, which was considered in the evaluation of performance in 2012 and 2013. This significant level of families living below the poverty level hinders the bank's ability to originate home mortgage loans to low-income individuals.

Home Purchase Loans

The overall borrower distribution of home purchase loans is adequate considering the bank's performance during 2013, 2012 and 2010 through 2011. The bank's performance in 2013 was adequate. The percentage of loans to low-income borrowers was significantly below the percentage of low-income families in the AA, but there are limited opportunities due the percentage of households below the poverty level. The percentage of loans to moderate-income borrowers was well below the percentage of moderate-income families in the AA. The bank's market share to low-income families was near to its overall market share for home purchase loans. The bank's market share to moderate-income borrowers substantially met its overall market share for home purchase loans.

The bank's borrower distribution of home purchase loans during 2012 was adequate. The percentage of loans to low-income borrowers was significantly below the percentage of low-income families, but considered adequate due to limited opportunities. The percentage of loans made to moderate-income borrowers was well below the percentage of moderate-income families. The bank's market share to low-income families was near to its overall market share for home purchase loans. The bank's market share to moderate-income borrowers substantially met its overall market share for home purchase loans.

The bank's borrower distribution of home purchase loans in 2010 through 2011 was poor. The percentage of loans to low-income borrowers was significantly below the percentage of low-income families. The percentage of loans made to moderate-income borrowers was well below the percentage of moderate-income families. The bank's market share to low-income families was below its overall market share for home purchase loans. The bank's market share to moderate-income borrowers was below its overall market share for home purchase loans.

Home Improvement Loans

The overall borrower distribution of home improvement loans is good. The borrower distribution of home improvement loans during 2013 and 2012 was good. The borrower distribution of home improvement loans during 2010 through 2011 was adequate.

The borrower distribution of home improvement loans in 2013 was good. The percentage of loans to low-income borrowers was significantly below the percentage of low-income families, but was impacted by the poverty level. The percentage of loans to moderate-income borrowers was below the percentage of moderate-income families. The bank's market share of loans to low-income borrowers substantially met its overall market share of home improvement loans. The bank's market share of loans to moderate-income borrowers exceeds its overall market share of home improvement loans.

The bank's borrower distribution of home improvement loans during 2012 was good. The percentage of loans to low-income borrowers was significantly below the percentage of low-income families, but was impacted by the poverty level. The percentage of loans made to moderate-income borrowers was near to the percentage of moderate-income families. The bank's market share of loans to low-income borrowers substantially met its overall market share of home improvement loans. The bank's market share of loans to moderate-income borrowers exceeds its overall market share of home improvement loans.

The bank's borrower distribution of home improvement loans during 2010 through 2011 was adequate. The percentage of loans to low-income borrowers was significantly below the percentage of low-income families, but was impacted by the poverty level. The percentage of loans made to moderate-income borrowers was below the percentage of moderate-income families. The bank's market share of loans to low-income borrowers exceeds its overall market share of home improvement loans. The bank's market share of loans to moderate-income borrowers was near to its overall market share of home improvement loans.

Home Refinance Loans

The overall borrower distribution of home refinance loans is poor. First Financial's performance in the 2013 and 2012 time periods for borrower distribution of home refinance loans was poor. The borrower distribution of home refinance loans during 2010 through 2011 was adequate.

First Financial's overall borrower distribution of home refinance loans was poor in 2013. The percentage of loans to low-income borrowers was significantly below the percentage of low-income families, but was impacted by the poverty level. The percentage of loans to moderate-income borrowers was also significantly below the percentage of moderate-income families. The bank's market share of loans to low-income families was well below its overall market share of home refinance loans. The bank's market share of loans to moderate-income families was well below its overall market share of home refinance loans.

The bank's borrower distribution of home refinance loans during 2012 was poor. The percentage of loans to low-income borrowers was significantly below the percentage of low-income families and was impacted by the poverty level. The percentage of loans to moderate-income borrowers was also significantly below the percentage of moderate-income families. The bank's market share of loans to low-income families was well below its overall market share of home refinance loans. The bank's market share of loans to moderate-income families was well below its overall market share of home refinance loans.

The bank's borrower distribution of home refinance loans in 2010 through 2011 was poor. The percentage of loans to low-income borrowers was significantly below the percentage of low-income families, but was impacted by the poverty level. The percentage of loans to moderate-income borrowers was also significantly below the percentage of moderate-income families. The bank's market share of loans to low-income families was below its overall market share of home refinance loans. The bank's market share of loans to moderate-income families was significantly below its overall market share of home refinance loans.

Texas Non-MSA AA

Overall, the borrower distribution of home mortgage loans is adequate. In evaluating the borrower distribution of home loans in the Texas Non-MSA AA, we considered the poverty level. Approximately 18 percent of the households were below the poverty level based on 2010 Census data, which was considered in the evaluation of performance in 2013. This significant level of families living below the poverty level hinders the bank's ability to originate home mortgage loans to low-income individuals.

The Texas Non-MSA AA was not evaluated for the 2012 and the 2010 through 2011 time periods because the bank did not consolidate charters with branches located in this AA until December 31, 2012.

Home Purchase Loans

The overall borrower distribution of home purchase loans is adequate. The bank's borrower distribution of home purchase loans during 2013 was adequate. The percentage of loans to low-income borrowers was significantly below the percentage of low-income families, but was impacted by the percentage of families living below the poverty level. The percentage of loans to moderate-income borrowers was below the percentage of moderate-income families. The bank's market share of loans to low-income families was well below its overall market share of home purchase loans. The bank's market share of loans to moderate-income borrowers exceeded its overall market share of home purchase loans.

Home Improvement Loans

The overall borrower distribution of home improvement loans is good. The bank's borrower distribution of home improvement loans during 2013 was good. The percentage of loans to low-income

borrowers was significantly below the percentage of low-income families, but opportunities to extend home improvement loans to low-income borrowers are limited. The percentage of loans to moderate-income borrowers exceeds the percentage of moderate-income families. The bank's market share of loans to low-income families was significantly below its overall market share of home improvement loans, but we considered the percentage of families below the poverty level. The bank's market share of loans to moderate-income borrowers substantially exceeded its overall market share of home improvement loans.

Home Refinance Loans

The overall borrower distribution of home refinance loans is poor. The bank's borrower distribution of home refinance loans during 2013 was adequate. The percentage of loans to low-income borrowers was substantially below the percentage of low-income families, but was impacted by the percentage of families living below the poverty level. The percentage of loans to moderate-income borrowers was substantially below the percentage of moderate-income families. The bank's market share of loans to low-income families was substantially below its overall market share of home refinance loans. The bank's market share of loans to moderate-income borrowers was also below its overall market share of home refinance loans.

Fort Worth – Arlington MD AA

Overall, the borrower distribution of home mortgage loans is adequate. In evaluating the borrower distribution of home loans in the Fort Worth – Arlington MD AA, we considered the poverty level. Approximately 12 percent of the households were below the poverty level based on 2010 Census data, which was considered in the evaluation of performance in 2013. This significant level of families living below the poverty level hinders the bank's ability to originate home mortgage loans to low-income individuals.

The Fort Worth – Arlington MD AA was not evaluated for the 2012 and the 2010 through 2011 time periods because the bank did not consolidate charters with branches located in this AA until December 31, 2012.

Home Purchase Loans

The overall borrower distribution of home purchase loans is adequate. The bank's borrower distribution of home purchase loans during 2013 was adequate. The percentage of loans to low-income borrowers was significantly below the percentage of low-income families, but was impacted by the percentage of families living below the poverty level. The percentage of loans to moderate-income borrowers was below the percentage of moderate-income families. Since the bank's overall market share in this AA was less than 1.0 percent, an analysis was not meaningful.

Home Improvement Loans

The overall borrower distribution of home improvement loans is good. The bank's borrower distribution of home improvement loans during 2013 was good. The percentage of loans to low-income borrowers was well below the percentage of low-income families but opportunities to extend home improvement loans to low-income borrowers are limited. The percentage of loans to moderate-income borrowers was near to the percentage of moderate-income families. Since the bank's overall market share in this AA was less than 1.0 percent, an analysis was not meaningful.

Home Refinance Loans

The overall borrower distribution of home refinance loans is poor. The bank's borrower distribution of home refinance loans during 2013 was poor. The percentage of loans to low-income borrowers was significantly below the percentage of low-income families, but was impacted by the percentage of families living below the poverty level. The percentage of loans to moderate-income borrowers was well below the percentage of moderate-income families. The bank's market share of home refinance loans was less than 1.0 percent and was not considered meaningful.

Small Loans to Businesses

The overall borrower distribution of small loans to businesses is good.

Refer to Table 11 in Appendix D for the facts and data used to evaluate the borrower distribution of the bank's origination and purchase of small loans to businesses.

Abilene MSA AA

The overall borrower distribution of small loans to businesses is good, considering First Financial's good performance in 2013 and 2012. The bank's performance for the 2010 through 2011 time period was not evaluated. The bank was not required to submit CRA business loan data prior to 2012.

First Financial's borrower distribution of small loans to businesses was good during the 2013 time period. The percentage of small loans to small businesses (businesses with gross annual revenue of \$1 million or less) was well below the percentage of small businesses in the AA, but this was mitigated by market share data. The bank's market share of small loans to small businesses during this period exceeded its overall market share of small loans to businesses in the AA.

The bank's borrower distribution of small loans to businesses in 2012 was good, considering market share data. The percentage of small loans to small businesses (businesses with gross annual revenue of \$1 million or less) was well below the percentage of small businesses in the AA, which is adequate. The bank's market share of small loans to small businesses exceeded its overall market share of small loans to businesses in the AA.

Texas Non-MSA AA

The overall borrower distribution of small loans to businesses is adequate. The bank's borrower distribution of small loans to businesses in 2013 was adequate. The percentage of small loans to small businesses (businesses with gross annual revenue of \$1 million or less) was significantly below the percentage of small businesses in the AA, but was mitigated by market share data. The bank's market share of small loans to small businesses exceeded its overall market share of small loans to businesses in the Texas Non-MSA AA.

The Texas Non-MSA AA was not evaluated for the 2012 and the 2010 through 2011 time periods because the bank did not consolidate charters with branches located in this AA until December 31, 2012.

Fort Worth – Arlington MD AA

The bank's borrower distribution of small loans to businesses in 2013 was poor. The percentage of small loans to small businesses (businesses with gross annual revenue of \$1 million or less) was significantly below the percentage of small businesses in the AA. The bank's market share of loans to small businesses was below 1 percent and not considered a meaningful analysis. The Fort Worth – Arlington MD AA was not evaluated for the 2012 and the 2010 through 2011 time periods because the bank did not consolidate charters with branches located in this AA until December 31, 2012.

Small Loans to Farms

The overall borrower distribution of small loans to farms is adequate.

Refer to Table 12 in Appendix D for the facts and data used to evaluate the borrower distribution of the bank's origination/purchase of small loans to farms.

Abilene MSA AA

The overall borrower distribution of small loans to farms is adequate, considering the bank's performance in 2013 and 2012. The bank's performance for the 2010 through 2011 time period was not evaluated. The bank was not required to submit CRA farm loan data prior to 2012.

First Financial's borrower distribution of small loans to farms was adequate during the 2013 time period. The percentage of small loans to small farms (farms with gross annual revenue of \$1 million or less) was substantially below the percentage of small farms in the AA, but this was mitigated by market share data. The bank's market share of small loans to small farms during this period was slightly below its overall market share of small loans to farms in the AA.

The bank's borrower distribution of small loans to farms in 2012 was adequate, considering market share data. The percentage of small loans to small farms (farms with gross annual revenue of \$1 million or less) was well below the percentage of small farms in the AA, which is adequate. The bank's market share of small loans to small farms was slightly below its overall market share of small loans to farms in the AA.

Texas Non-MSA AA

The overall borrower distribution of small loans to farms is adequate. The bank's borrower distribution of small loans to farms in 2013 was adequate. The percentage of small loans to small farms (farms with gross annual revenue of \$1 million or less) was significantly below the percentage of small farms in the AA, but was mitigated by market share data. The bank's market share of small loans to small farms was slightly below its overall market share of small loans to farms in the Texas Non-MSA AA.

The Texas Non-MSA AA was not evaluated for the 2012 and the 2010 through 2011 time periods because the bank did not consolidate charters with branches located in this AA until December 31, 2012.

Fort Worth – Arlington MD AA

The bank's borrower distribution of small loans to farms in 2013 was poor. The percentage of small loans to small farms (farms with gross annual revenue of \$1 million or less) was significantly below the percentage of small farms in the AA. The bank's market share of loans to small farms was below 1 percent and a market share analysis was not considered meaningful. The Fort Worth – Arlington MD AA was not evaluated for the 2012 and the 2010 through 2011 periods because the bank did not consolidate charters with branches located in this AA until December 31, 2012.

Community Development Lending

The bank's CD lending had a positive impact on its overall lending performance in the Abilene MSA and the Texas Non-MSA AAs and a neutral impact in the Fort Worth – Arlington MD AA.

Refer to Table 1 Lending Volume in Appendix D for the facts and data used to evaluate the bank's level of community development lending. This table includes all CD loans, including multifamily loans that also qualify as CD loans.

Overall, First Financial's level of CD lending demonstrates its responsiveness to the community development needs of its AAs. First Financial's level of CD lending has had a positive impact on the Lending Test within the full-scope Abilene MSA and Texas Non-MSA AAs. Additionally, the bank originated an adequate amount of CD loans in the Fort Worth – Arlington MD AA and the broader Texas statewide area, which had a neutral impact on the bank's community development lending. The bank's CD loans primarily help to provide affordable housing, economic development, revitalization efforts and community services. This examination included an analysis of CD loans originated or purchased after October 1, 2010 (the date of the last CRA evaluation) through June 30, 2014. Bank-wide, over the four-year evaluation period, First Financial originated 46 community development loans totaling \$93.56 million. First Financial originated approximately 41 by number and \$76.74 million by volume of its CD loans inside the bank's delineated AAs.

Abilene MSA AA

First Financial's level of CD lending had a significant positive impact on its overall lending performance in the Abilene MSA AA. The bank originated 25 loans totaling \$42.925 million in qualified CD loans within the AA during the evaluation period. This represented 38.33 percent of allocated Tier One Capital. The bank's CD loans supported activities that promoted economic development and revitalization efforts in the AA and also supported organizations providing community services.

Specific examples of the CD loans originated during this evaluation period include:

- Five loans totaling \$22.8 million to the Hendricks Medical Center in Abilene. The Hendricks Health System has a strong presence in Abilene, providing health-care and community services to low- and-moderate-income areas and individuals.
- A \$6.2 million loan to finance the construction of the Abilene Bone and Joint Clinic, a medical facility under the Hendricks Medical Healthcare System. The clinic is located in a low-income area within the Abilene MSA and will help provide community services, permanent jobs and revitalize the area.

- A \$3.8 million loan to remodel an existing car dealership located in a moderate-income tract. The rehabilitation of the dealership will help to stabilize the area and retain jobs.
- A \$2 million loan to the Karakin Foundation, a non-profit charitable organization. Loan proceeds will temporarily fund year-end grants to several programs benefiting low- and moderate-income families and individuals.

Texas Non-MSA AA

First Financial's level of CD lending had a positive impact on the overall lending test rating in the Texas Non-MSA AA. During the evaluation period, First Financial originated 14 CD loans totaling \$9 million in the AA. This represented 8.19 percent of allocated Tier One Capital. The bank's CD loans demonstrated adequate responsiveness to identified community development needs in the AA, including economic development and revitalization and stabilization of low- and moderate-income areas of the AA.

Specific examples of the CD loans originated during this evaluation period include:

- Two loans totaling \$6.5 million to fund new small businesses in low- and moderate-income tracts, thereby revitalizing the area, promoting economic development and providing jobs.
- Five loans totaling \$1.043 million to an investment firm that specializes in purchasing and rehabilitating distressed properties and business in undeserved and distressed areas, thus stabilizing and promoting economic development within these areas.

Fort Worth - Arlington MD AA

First Financial's level of CD lending had a neutral impact on the overall lending test rating in the Fort Worth - Arlington MD AA. During the evaluation period, First Financial originated 3 CD loans totaling \$3.8 million in the AA. This represented 4.22 percent of allocated Tier One Capital.

Broader Regional Area – State of Texas

In addition to the CD loans that benefit the bank's AAs, First Financial originated 5 qualified CD loans, totaling \$16.92 million, located in a broader regional area. CD loans originated in the broader regional area received positive consideration in this evaluation. Examples of CD loans originated in the broader regional area include:

- A \$10 million loan to an investment firm, Main Street, specializing in providing long-term debt and equity capital to lower middle market companies and debt capital to middle market companies. Main Street's portfolio investments are typically made to support management buyouts, recapitalizations, growth financings, refinancings and acquisitions of companies that operate in diverse industry sectors.
- A \$3 million dollar loan to fund the construction of a business complex in located in a moderate-income census tract. The business complex is expected to revitalize the area and provide jobs.

- Three loans totaling \$3.92 million to small business located in low, moderate and underserved tracts. Businesses will help redevelop and revitalize areas along with providing needed jobs.

Product Innovation and Flexibility

First Financial offers a standard product mix of loans, which includes FHA and VA loans. The bank participates in several flexible loan programs that focus on affordable home ownership. We did not consider any bank products or services innovative. Given low volume, minimal weight was given to these programs in the Lending test conclusion.

Abilene MSA AA

First Financial participates in a program exclusively for home purchases within city limits of Abilene, TX, extending 10 loans totaling \$374 thousand between 2010 and 2013.

- Community 100 Program - This program allows 100% financing with a maximum \$45,000 loan amount. Some income restrictions apply and the house must be owner-occupied. The subject property must be within city limits of Abilene, and the Bank will retain its loan servicing.

Texas Non-MSA AA

First Financial participates in a program for home purchases within the Taylor, Shackelford, Callahan or Ector counties, extending 20 loans totaling \$706 thousand during the evaluation period.

- Affordable Home Loan Program – This program is for home purchase only, allowing a maximum loan amount of \$45,000 with up to 95% financing. The home must be in Taylor, Shackelford, Callahan or Ector counties and must be owner occupied. There is no income requirement, and the Bank retains the loan servicing.

Conclusions for Areas Receiving Limited-Scope Reviews

Based on limited-scope reviews, the bank’s performance under the lending test in the Dallas – Plano - Irving MD, Odessa MSA and San Angelo MSA is not inconsistent with the bank’s overall good performance under the lending test in Texas. In the San Angelo MSA AA, the bank’s performance is in line with the bank’s overall performance in the state. In the Dallas – Plano - Irving MD AA and Odessa MSA, the bank’s performance is weaker than the bank’s overall performance in the state due to limited presence in these markets. Refer to the Tables 1 through 11 in Appendix D for the facts and data that support these conclusions.

INVESTMENT TEST

Conclusions for Areas Receiving Full-Scope Reviews

The bank’s performance under the investment test is rated “High Satisfactory.” Based on full-scope reviews, the bank’s performance in the Abilene MSA AA is good, the Fort Worth – Arlington MD AA is excellent and the Texas Non-MSA AA is excellent. More weight was attributed to Abilene MSA AA.

Refer to Table 14 in Appendix D for the facts and data used to evaluate the bank's level of qualified investments.

Abilene MSA AA

The bank's overall Investment Test performance in the Abilene MSA AA is good. During the evaluation period, First Financial made investments and grants in the Abilene MSA totaling \$4.92 million. This amount represents approximately 4.40% of allocated Tier 1 Capital for the AA. This level of CD investment performance is good and is further supported by the bank's responsiveness to CD needs in the AA.

The bank's responsiveness to the CD needs in the AA is good. A significant amount of the bank's CRA-qualified investments support home mortgages for low- or moderate-income borrowers. A substantial majority of qualified investments in various municipal bonds support community projects like the construction of the local convention center, and improvements to water utility and sewer facilities. Qualified grants meet a wide variety of AA needs, such as local United Way endeavors, local nursing school support, and revitalization of low- and moderate-income census tracts, to name a few. This represents good responsiveness to identified needs in the AA.

Following are examples of some of these investments in the Abilene MSA AA:

- A \$3.2 million investment to fund improvements to water utility and sewer facilities.
- A \$860 thousand investment in bonds for the construction of the local convention center.
- A \$568 thousand investment in mortgage backed securities collateralized by properties or borrowers considered low- and moderate-income.
- Qualified grants totaling \$80 thousand to support local United Way efforts.
- Qualified grants totaling \$100 thousand to the Pine Street Project for the primary purpose of revitalization of this low-income census tract.

These investments exhibit a good responsiveness to the community development needs of the Abilene MSA AA.

Texas Non-MSA AA

The bank's overall Investment Test performance in the Texas Non-MSA AA is good. During the evaluation period, First Financial made investments and grants in the Texas Non-MSA areas totaling \$7.68 million. This amount represents approximately 6.96% of allocated Tier 1 Capital for the AA. This level of CD investment performance is good and is further supported by the bank's responsiveness to CD needs in the AA.

The bank's responsiveness to the CD needs in the AA is good. A significant amount of the bank's CRA qualified investments include mortgage-backed securities that support home mortgages for low- or moderate-income borrowers or include prior period investments that support economically disadvantaged school districts in the Texas Non-MSA AA. A substantial majority of qualified

investments in various municipal bonds support community projects like the construction of a new jail, which will create additional jobs in the AA, and improvements to water utility and sewer facilities. Qualified grants meet a wide variety of AA needs, such as local United Way endeavors, homes for foster children and a senior citizens center. This demonstrates good responsiveness to identified needs in the Texas Non-MSA AA.

Fort Worth – Arlington MD AA

The bank’s overall Investment Test performance in the Fort Worth – Arlington MD AA is good. During the evaluation period, First Financial made investments and grants in the Fort Worth – Arlington MD totaling \$5.09 million. We also considered the impact within the AA of ongoing investments that were made prior to the current evaluation period. The remaining balance on two prior period investments as of the date of our examination was \$816 thousand. The total qualifying CD investment amount totals \$5.91 million and represents approximately 6.62% of allocated Tier 1 Capital for the AA. This level of CD investment performance is good and is further supported with good responsiveness to CD needs in the AA.

The bank’s responsiveness to the CD needs in the AA is good. A substantial majority of the bank’s CRA qualified investments support home mortgages for low- or moderate-income borrowers or support economically disadvantaged school districts in the Fort Worth – Arlington MD AA. Qualified grants meet a wide variety of AA needs such as local United Way endeavors, services for sexual violence victims, and public education foundations. This demonstrates good responsiveness to identified needs in the AA.

Following are examples of some of these investments in the Fort Worth - Arlington MD AA:

- A \$2.45 million investment in mortgage backed securities collateralized by properties or borrowers considered low- and moderate-income.
- A \$2.63 million investment in bonds issued by the economically disadvantaged school districts of Poolville, Crowley and Castleberry ISDs.
- Two prior period investments with a remaining balance of \$816 thousand in bonds issued by the economically disadvantaged school districts of Venus and Lake Worth ISDs.

These investments exhibit good responsiveness to the community development needs of the Fort Worth – Arlington MD AA.

Conclusions for Area Receiving Limited-Scope Reviews

Based on limited-scope reviews, the bank’s performance under the investment test in the Dallas – Plano – Irving MD, San Angelo MSA, and Odessa MSA AAs is good. This is not inconsistent with the High Satisfactory investment test rating for the institution. Refer to Table 14 in Appendix D for the facts and data that support these conclusions.

SERVICE TEST

First Financial's performance under the service test is rated "High Satisfactory". Based on full-scope reviews, the bank's performance in the Fort Worth – Arlington MD AA is excellent while its performance in the Abilene MSA AA and TX Non-MSA AA are good.

Retail Banking Services

Overall, the bank's branch distribution and access to retail banking services is good and First Financial's performance in providing community development services in Texas is good.

Refer to Table 15 in Appendix D for the facts and data used to evaluate the distribution of the bank's branch delivery system and branch openings and closings.

Abilene MSA AA

First Financial's branch distribution and access to retail banking services in the AA is good when considering the branch distribution at the end of the evaluation period. The bank's branch distribution during the assessment period was adequate; however, when considering the accessibility of branches near to low- and moderate-income geographies, performance was elevated to good.

Branches were readily accessible to geographies and individuals of different income levels in the assessment area. As of December 31, 2013, there was one branch located near to a low-income geography. The percentage of branches near to low-income geographies was above the percentage of the population in low-income geographies. In addition, there was one branch located in a moderate-income geography, with an additional four branches located near to moderate-income geographies. The percentage of branches located within or near to moderate-income geographies was above the percentage of the population in moderate-income tracts. Near to branches are those located in middle- or upper-income geographies that are located within one half mile from a low- or moderate-income geography.

Branch openings and closings during the evaluation period have generally not adversely affected the accessibility of the bank's delivery systems to low- and moderate-income geographies or individuals. There were two branch openings and one branch closing in the Abilene AA during the evaluation period. One branch was opened in a middle-income census tract and one branch was opened in an upper-income census tract. The closed branch was located in a middle-income census.

Branch services and hours did not vary in a way that would inconvenience portions of the AA, particularly low- or moderate-income individuals. Full-service ATM distribution approximates the low- and moderate-income geographies or individuals of the AA.

Texas Non-MSA AA

First Financial's branch distribution and access to retail banking services in this AA is good, when considering the branch distribution at the end of the evaluation period. The bank's branch distribution during the assessment period was adequate; however, when considering the accessibility of branches near to low- and moderate-income geographies, performance was elevated to good.

Branches were accessible to geographies and individuals of different income levels in the assessment area. As of December 31, 2013, there were no branches located within or near to a low-income geography. However, there were three branches located in moderate-income geographies, with one additional branch located near to a moderate-income geography. The percentage of branches located within or near to moderate-income geographies was above the percentage of the population in moderate-income tracts.

Branch openings and closings during the evaluation period have generally not adversely affected the accessibility of the bank's delivery systems to low- and moderate-income geographies or individuals. There were two branch openings and two branch closings in the Texas Non-MSA AA during the evaluation period. Both opened branches and both closed branches were located in middle-income census tracts.

Branch services and hours did not vary in a way that would inconvenience portions of the AA, particularly LMI individuals. Full-service ATM distribution exceeds the low- and moderate-income geographies or individuals of the AA.

Fort Worth - Arlington MD AA

First Financial's branch distribution and access to retail banking services in the AA is excellent when considering the branch distribution at the end of the evaluation period. The bank's branch distribution during the assessment period was good; however, when considering the accessibility of branches near to low- and moderate-income geographies, performance was elevated to excellent.

Branches were readily accessible to geographies and individuals of different income levels in the assessment area. As of December 31, 2013, there was one branch located in a low-income geography. The percentage of branches in low-income geographies approximates the percentage of the population in low-income geographies. In addition, there were three branches located in moderate-income geographies, with an additional four branches located near to a moderate-income geography. The percentage of branches located within or near to moderate-income geographies was above the percentage of the population in moderate-income tracts.

Branch openings and closings during the evaluation period have not adversely affected the accessibility of the bank's delivery systems to low- and moderate-income geographies or individuals. There were five branch openings and two branch closings in the Fort Worth –Arlington MD AA during the evaluation period. Three of the branches were opened in middle-income census tracts and two branches were opened in upper-income census tracts. The two closed branches were located in middle-income census tracts.

Branch services and hours did not vary in a way that would inconvenience portions of the AA, particularly low- or moderate-income individuals. Full-service ATM distribution approximates the low- and moderate-income geographies or individuals of the AA.

Community Development Services

First Financial's performance in providing community development services in Texas is good.

Community development services focused primarily on services targeted to low- and moderate-income individuals. Bank employees participated in a variety of organizations and partnerships, some in leadership roles, that benefited low- and moderate-income individuals, promoted economic development, and provided affordable housing. They also shared their technical expertise by teaching Money Smart classes and supported the education of students by participating in fund raising activities for school districts with a majority of low- and moderate-income students.

Abilene MSA AA

In the Abilene MSA AA, 49 bank employees provided their expertise to 23 different community development organizations. In addition, 11 employees demonstrated leadership and served on the Board of Directors or on committees of these organizations.

First Financial has an innovative community service through their development of a program to protect unsuspecting elder customers from financial exploitation and financial crimes. Bank employees have been trained to monitor accounts for suspicious activity. The bank has partnered with the local law enforcement and adult protective services to help intervene before financial harm is done. The American Bankers Association plans to use First Financial's program as a model to share with their member banks.

Texas Non-MSA AA

In the Texas Non-MSA AA, 30 bank employees provided their expertise to 19 different community development organizations. In addition, 14 employees demonstrated leadership and served on the Board of Directors or on committees of these organizations.

Fort Worth - Arlington MD AA

In the Fort Worth – Arlington MD AA, 17 bank employees provided their expertise to 12 different community development organizations. In addition, 12 employees demonstrated leadership and served on the Board of Directors or on committees of these organizations.

Conclusions for Areas Receiving Limited-Scope Reviews

Based on limited-scope reviews, the bank's performance under the service test in the Dallas – Plano – Irving MD, Odessa MSA, and San Angelo MSA AAs is weaker than the bank's overall performance, but is adequate. Performance differences in this area were based on weaker branch distribution but were not significant enough to affect the bank's overall rating. Refer to Table 15 in Appendix D for the facts and data that support these conclusions.

Appendix A: Scope of Examination

The following table identifies the time period covered in this evaluation, affiliate activities that were reviewed, and loan products considered. The table also reflects the metropolitan and nonmetropolitan areas that received comprehensive examination review (designated by the term “full-scope”) and those that received a less comprehensive review (designated by the term “limited-scope”).

| | | |
|--|---|--------------------------------------|
| Time Period Reviewed | Lending Test (excludes CD Loans): (01/01/10 to 12/31/13) Investment and Service Tests and CD Loans: (10/1/14 to 06/30/14) | |
| Financial Institution | Products Reviewed | |
| First Financial Bank, N.A. Abilene, Texas | Home Purchase, Home Improvement, and Home Refinance loans; Small Business loans; Small Farm loans; Community Development loans, Investments, and Services | |
| Affiliate(s) | Affiliate Relationship | Products Reviewed |
| None | | No affiliate products were reviewed. |
| List of Assessment Areas and Type of Examination | | |
| Assessment Area | Type of Exam | Other Information |
| Texas Abilene MSA Fort Worth – Arlington MD Dallas MD Odessa MSA San Angelo MSA TX Non-MSA | Full-Scope Full Scope Limited-Scope Limited Scope Limited Scope Full Scope) | |

Appendix B: Summary of State Ratings

| RATINGS | | BANK NAME | | |
|--------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|
| Overall Bank: | Lending Test Rating* | Investment Test Rating | Service Test Rating | Overall Bank/State Rating |
| First Financial Bank, NA | High Satisfactory | High Satisfactory | High Satisfactory | Satisfactory |
| State: | | | | |
| Texas | High Satisfactory | High-Satisfactory | High Satisfactory | Satisfactory |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

(*) The lending test is weighted more heavily than the investment and service tests in the overall rating.

Appendix C: Market Profiles for Full-Scope Areas

State of Texas - Full-Scope Areas

Abilene MSA - Assessment Area

| Demographic Information for Full Scope Area: Abilene MSA - US 2000 Census Data | | | | | | |
|--|---------|-------------------------|---|-----------------|--------------|------------|
| Demographic Characteristics | # | Low % of # | Moderate % of # | Middle % of # | Upper % of # | NA* % of # |
| Geographies (Census Tracts/BNAs) | 44 | 0.00 | 25.00 | 50.00 | 18.18 | 6.82 |
| Population by Geography | 160,245 | 0.00 | 19.80 | 56.43 | 22.84 | 0.92 |
| Owner-Occupied Housing by Geography | 38,042 | 0.00 | 17.54 | 54.63 | 27.83 | 0.00 |
| Business by Geography | 17,302 | 0.00 | 20.37 | 51.21 | 27.77 | 0.65 |
| Farms by Geography | 981 | 0.00 | 13.76 | 54.33 | 31.91 | 0.00 |
| Family Distribution by Income Level | 41,048 | 19.15 | 18.23 | 23.78 | 38.84 | 0.00 |
| Distribution of Low and Moderate Income Families throughout AA Geographies | 15,342 | 0.00 | 30.15 | 59.34 | 10.51 | 0.00 |
| Median Family Income HUD Adjusted Median Family Income for 2011 Households Below Poverty Level | | 39,988 52,200 14% | Median Housing Value Unemployment Rate (2000 US Census) | 55,664 3.56% | | |

(*) The NA category consists of geographies that have not been assigned an income classification.
Source: 2000 US Census and 2011 HUD updated MFI

| Demographic Information for Full Scope Area: Abilene MSA – US 2010 Census Data | | | | | | |
|--|---------|-------------------------|---|-----------------|--------------|------------|
| Demographic Characteristics | # | Low % of # | Moderate % of # | Middle % of # | Upper % of # | NA* % of # |
| Geographies (Census Tracts/BNAs) | 47 | 4.26 | 23.40 | 48.94 | 14.89 | 8.51 |
| Population by Geography | 165,252 | 2.47 | 23.75 | 48.64 | 21.82 | 3.32 |
| Owner-Occupied Housing by Geography | 39,809 | 1.68 | 18.26 | 51.33 | 28.73 | 0.00 |
| Business by Geography | 14,591 | 1.63 | 21.46 | 51.07 | 25.04 | 0.81 |
| Farms by Geography | 1,039 | 0.19 | 12.90 | 55.53 | 31.38 | 0.00 |
| Family Distribution by Income Level | 40,747 | 21.18 | 17.40 | 21.18 | 40.25 | 0.00 |
| Distribution of Low and Moderate Income Families throughout AA Geographies | 15,719 | 3.33 | 36.45 | 49.69 | 10.53 | 0.00 |
| Median Family Income HUD Adjusted Median Family Income for 2011 Households Below Poverty Level | | 52,886 52,200 15% | Median Housing Value Unemployment Rate (2010 US Census) | 85,262 2.56% | | |

(*) The NA category consists of geographies that have not been assigned an income classification.
Source: 2010 US Census and 2011 HUD updated MFI

The Abilene MSA is comprised of three counties: Taylor, Jones and Callahan. The bank's AA encompasses all of the three counties. The 2000 US Census recorded 44 census tracts within the Abilene MSA, 25.0 percent of which were moderate-income census tracts. There were no low-income census tracts reported. The 2010 US Census recorded 47 census tracts within the Abilene MSA, which represents a 6 percent increase in the number of census tracts since 2000. Of the census tracts, 4.26 percent are designated as low-income census tracts and 23.40 percent are designated as moderate-income census tracts. The population of the AA increased 5,007, or 3.12 percent, between the 2000 census and the 2010 census. Census bureau estimates made in July 2011 reflect slight population growth of 1,614 within the Abilene MSA AA.

Based on the 2000 Census, the FFIEC Median Family Income in the Abilene MSA was \$39,988. As of the 2010 US Census, the Median Family Income grew 32.25 percent to \$52,886. The US 2000 Census reports 37.38 percent of families designated as low- or moderate-income families within the AA. The US 2010 Census reflects a slight increase in low- and moderate-income families to 38.58 percent. The bank has 13 of its offices including its headquarters located within the Abilene MSA. As of June 30, 2013, 30.83 percent of total First Financial's deposits are attributed to the branch offices in this rating AA.

According to the FDIC Deposit Market Share Report, as of June 30, 2013, First Financial had the largest market share of deposits in the Abilene MSA with a deposit share of \$1.095 billion, or 43.73 percent. Prosperity Bank was second with an 11.78 percent market share, followed by First Bank Texas, SSB with a 9.44% percent market share.

Employment and Economic Factors

The 2000 US Census reflected an annualized unemployment rate for the Abilene MSA of 3.56 percent. This was slightly lower than the nationwide unemployment rate of 3.9 percent. Based on the US 2010 Census, the annualized unemployment rate for the Abilene MSA was 8.8 percent, comparing favorably to the national unemployment rate of 9.4%. As of December 2012, the unemployment rates in the three counties that comprise First Financial's AA remain slightly below state and national averages of 6.0 percent and 6.7 percent, respectively. The Bureau of Labor Statistics reports Taylor County at 4.9 percent, Callahan County at 4.9 percent, and Jones County at 6.2 percent. However, the poverty rate in the AA remains significant, as the U.S. Census Bureau calculated the rate for families at 15 percent in 2010, an increase from the 14 percent calculated in 2000.

In the late 20th Century, Abilene's economy was concentrated in oil, agriculture, and military. Since then, Abilene has diversified its economic base by continuing to be a progressive, business-friendly city, soliciting new industries with aggressive incentives and opportunities along with superb quality of life, as well as assisting existing industries with their expansion plans. The city of Abilene and surrounding areas continue to thrive with growth in various sectors such as oil and gas, wind energy, higher education, state-of-the-art medical facilities and the continued influence of Dyess Air Force Base. The top five employers in Abilene as of 2013 were Dyess Air Force Base, Hendricks Health System, Abilene ISD, Abilene State Supported Living Center and City of Abilene.

Housing

Based on the 2000 Census, the median housing value in the Abilene MSA AA was \$55,664. Per the 2010 census, the median housing value in the AA was \$85,262.

There continues to be limited opportunities for residential mortgage lending in low-income census tracts in relation to the opportunities in moderate-, middle-, and upper-income census tracts. Per the US Census, there were approximately 34 thousand owner-occupied units in the AA and none of these units were located in low-income census tracts. Owner-occupied units increased 11 percent during the 2010 US Census; however, only 1.68 percent of these units were located in low-income census tracts.

Two community contacts were considered as part of this performance evaluation. They identified affordable housing, low cost banking services and small business loans as some of the primary needs within the community.

Fort Worth - Arlington MD – Assessment Area

| Demographic Information for Full Scope Area: Fort Worth MSA – US 2000 Census | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-------------------------|---|-----------------|--------------|------------|
| Demographic Characteristics | # | Low % of # | Moderate % of # | Middle % of # | Upper % of # | NA* % of # |
| Geographies (Census Tracts/BNAs) | 357 | 6.72 | 26.33 | 40.06 | 26.89 | 0.00 |
| Population by Geography | 1,710,318 | 5.10 | 24.80 | 41.72 | 28.37 | 0.00 |
| Owner-Occupied Housing by Geography | 398,229 | 3.14 | 18.57 | 43.89 | 34.39 | 0.00 |
| Business by Geography | 248,081 | 2.49 | 18.62 | 40.58 | 38.31 | 0.00 |
| Farms by Geography | 5,470 | 1.30 | 13.67 | 53.13 | 31.90 | 0.00 |
| Family Distribution by Income Level | 444,129 | 19.56 | 18.78 | 21.95 | 39.71 | 0.00 |
| Distribution of Low and Moderate Income Families throughout AA Geographies | 170,261 | 8.71 | 35.85 | 42.24 | 13.21 | 0.00 |
| Median Family Income HUD Adjusted Median Family Income for 2011 Households Below Poverty Level | | 53,268 68,200 10% | Median Housing Value Unemployment Rate (2000 US Census) | 98,851 2.33% | | |

(*) The NA category consists of geographies that have not been assigned an income classification.
Source: 2000 US Census and 2011 HUD updated MFI

| Demographic Information for Full Scope Area: FT Worth Arlington MD US 2010 Census | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-------------------------|---|------------------|--------------|------------|
| Demographic Characteristics | # | Low % of # | Moderate % of # | Middle % of # | Upper % of # | NA* % of # |
| Geographies (Census Tracts/BNAs) | 415 | 8.19 | 27.47 | 38.31 | 25.78 | 0.24 |
| Population by Geography | 2,136,022 | 6.33 | 25.31 | 39.51 | 28.85 | 0.00 |
| Owner-Occupied Housing by Geography | 487,788 | 3.47 | 19.93 | 41.75 | 34.85 | 0.00 |
| Business by Geography | 216,964 | 4.43 | 20.75 | 37.48 | 37.20 | 0.14 |
| Farms by Geography | 5,129 | 2.91 | 17.24 | 46.09 | 33.75 | 0.02 |
| Family Distribution by Income Level | 525,211 | 21.54 | 17.63 | 19.69 | 41.14 | 0.00 |
| Distribution of Low and Moderate Income Families throughout AA Geographies | 205,705 | 10.78 | 37.46 | 38.26 | 13.51 | 0.00 |
| Median Family Income HUD Adjusted Median Family Income for 2013 Households Below Poverty Level | | 65,319 65,500 12% | Median Housing Value Unemployment Rate (2010 US Census) | 144,501 3.70% | | |

(*) The NA category consists of geographies that have not been assigned an income classification.
Source: 2010 US Census and 2013 HUD updated MFI

The Fort Worth - Arlington MD AA is comprised of four counties: Johnson, Parker, Tarrant and Wise. The bank's AA includes the entire area or four counties. The bank's AA does not arbitrarily exclude any areas, including low- and moderate-income areas. The 2000 US Census recorded 357 census tracts within the Fort Worth - Arlington MD AA. Designated low-income tracts comprised 6.72 percent and moderate-income tracts comprised 26.33 percent. The 2010 US Census recorded 415 census tracts within the Fort Worth - Arlington MD AA, representing a 16 percent increase in the number of census tracts since 2000. Under the 2010 US Census, 8.19 percent of the census tracts are designated as low-income and 27.47 percent designated as moderate-income. The population of the AA has increased by

425,704 persons, or 24.89 percent, between the 2000 census and the 2010 census. Census bureau estimates made in July 2012 indicate further population growth in all counties within the Fort Worth - Arlington MD AA.

Based on the 2000 US Census, the FFIEC Median Family Income for the Fort Worth - Arlington MD was \$53,268. As of the 2010 US Census, the Median Family Income was \$65,319, which represents an increase of 22.62 percent. The family distribution under the US 2000 Census reflected 19.56 percent of families as low-income families and 18.78 percent were designated as moderate-income families. The US 2010 Census reports 21.54 percent of families designated as being in the low-income bracket and 17.53 percent in the moderate-income bracket.

The Fort Worth - Arlington MD AA holds 20, or 33.33 percent, of the bank's 60 branch locations. The AA accounts for 25.56 percent of the bank's total deposits.

According to the FDIC Deposit Market Share Report, as of June 30, 2013, First Financial had \$1.1 billion in deposits within the Fort Worth - Arlington MD AA with a 0.59 percent market share. Bank of America had the largest market share at 28.29 percent, followed by JP Morgan Chase with a market share of 22.23 percent.

Employment and Economic Factors

The 2000 US Census reflected an annualized unemployment rate for the Fort Worth - Arlington MD of 2.33 percent. This compared slightly favorably with the nationwide unemployment rate of 3.9 percent. Based on the US 2010 Census, the annualized unemployment rate for the Fort Worth - Arlington MD was 3.70 percent, comparing favorably to the national unemployment rate of 9.4 percent. As of December 31, 2013, the City of Fort Worth reported an unemployment rate of 6.2 percent, which is slightly higher than the state unemployment rate, but lower than the nationwide unemployment rate of 7.4 percent. The 2000 US Census recorded 10 percent of households in the Fort Worth - Arlington MD living below the poverty level. The 2010 US Census records 12 percent of households as living below the poverty level.

Fort Worth is the 17th largest city in the United States of America and the fifth largest city in the state of Texas. The city is the second largest in the Dallas–Fort Worth–Arlington metropolitan area. Fort Worth has a highly diversified economy. The area is an important manufacturing, commercial, transportation, and financial hub and provides a large number of cultural and recreational opportunities. The Fort Worth area continues to see an increase in the total number of natural gas wells tapping the Barnett Shale throughout the city and surrounding counties, although at a significantly reduced rate from recent years. The city is also home to Texas Christian University, Texas Wesleyan University, University of North Texas Health Science Center, Texas A&M University School of Law, and many multinational corporations, including Bell Helicopter, Lockheed Martin, American Airlines, Radio Shack, and others. Over the long term, growth is projected in a variety of industries within the Fort Worth area, opening up possibilities for a sustained increase in employment opportunities.

Housing

Based on the 2000 Census, the median housing value in the Fort Worth – Arlington MD AA was \$98,951. Per the 2010 census, the median housing value in the AA was \$144,501. Rising home values over the last few years have adversely affected home ownership opportunities for low- and moderate-income families. This is reflected in the declining distribution of owner-occupied units within the low- and moderate-income census tracts. Per the 2000 US Census, there were 398,229 owner-occupied units within the AA. Of these units, 5.10 percent were located in low-income census tracts and 24.80 percent

were located in moderate-income census tracts. While number of owner-occupied units increased 22 percent to 487,788 within the AA as reported by the 2010 US Census, the distribution of these units had declined to 3.47 percent within the low-income census tracts and 19.93 percent within the moderate-income census tracts.

Community Contacts

Three community contacts were considered as part of this performance evaluation. They identified the need for financing future multi-family developments, loans to fund the construction and rehab of older pre-existing units, affordable housing and small business loans as their perception of the primary needs of the Dallas-Fort Worth community.

Texas Non-MSA – Assessment Area

| Demographic Information for Full Scope Area Texas Non-MSA AA : US 2000 Census | | | | | | |
|--|---------|-------------------------|---|-----------------|--------------|------------|
| Demographic Characteristics | # | Low % of # | Moderate % of # | Middle % of # | Upper % of # | NA* % of # |
| Geographies (Census Tracts/BNAs) | 49 | 0.00 | 12.24 | 63.27 | 24.49 | 0.00 |
| Population by Geography | 230,000 | 0.00 | 9.11 | 52.98 | 37.91 | 0.00 |
| Owner-Occupied Housing by Geography | 57,793 | 0.00 | 6.75 | 52.57 | 40.68 | 0.00 |
| Business by Geography | 26,410 | 0.00 | 7.16 | 52.41 | 40.43 | 0.00 |
| Farms by Geography | 1,685 | 0.00 | 2.37 | 65.52 | 32.11 | 0.00 |
| Family Distribution by Income Level | 57,564 | 17.31 | 17.08 | 20.34 | 45.27 | 0.00 |
| Distribution of Low and Moderate Income Families throughout AA Geographies | 19,794 | 0.00 | 14.33 | 58.48 | 27.20 | 0.00 |
| Median Family Income HUD Adjusted Median Family Income for 2011 Households Below Poverty Level | | 36,380 48,600 16% | Median Housing Value Unemployment Rate (2000 US Census) | 62,641 3.28% | | |

(*) The NA category consists of geographies that have not been assigned an income classification.
Source: 2000 US Census and 2011 HUD updated MFI

| Demographic Information for Full Scope Area Texas Non-MSA AA : US 2010 Census | | | | | | |
|--|---------|-------------------------|---|------------------|--------------|------------|
| Demographic Characteristics | # | Low % of # | Moderate % of # | Middle % of # | Upper % of # | NA* % of # |
| Geographies (Census Tracts/BNAs) | 56 | 1.79 | 14.29 | 50.00 | 33.93 | 0.00 |
| Population by Geography | 254,057 | 2.90 | 10.99 | 50.04 | 36.07 | 0.00 |
| Owner-Occupied Housing by Geography | 61,002 | 1.06 | 7.02 | 49.99 | 41.94 | 0.00 |
| Business by Geography | 21,466 | 1.08 | 9.57 | 47.48 | 41.87 | 0.00 |
| Farms by Geography | 1,806 | 0.28 | 4.65 | 57.86 | 37.21 | 0.00 |
| Family Distribution by Income Level | 59,453 | 18.78 | 16.57 | 19.15 | 45.49 | 0.00 |
| Distribution of Low and Moderate Income Families throughout AA Geographies | 21,017 | 3.64 | 14.34 | 55.20 | 26.82 | 0.00 |
| Median Family Income HUD Adjusted Median Family Income for 2011 Households Below Poverty Level | | 48,160 48,600 18% | Median Housing Value Unemployment Rate (2010 US Census) | 101,110 2.61% | | |

(*) The NA category consists of geographies that have not been assigned an income classification.
Source: 2010 US Census and 2011 HUD updated MFI

The Texas Non-MSA AA is comprised of 10 counties: Deaf-Smith, Eastland, Erath, Fisher, Hood, Nolan, Palo Pinto, Shackelford, Somervell and Walker. The assessment area meets the requirements of the CRA regulation and does not arbitrarily exclude any areas, particularly low- and moderate-income geographies. The 2000 US Census recorded 49 census tracts within the Texas Non-MSA of which 12.24 percent were designated as moderate-income census tracts. There were no low-income census tracts. The 2010 US Census recorded 56 census tracts within the Texas Non MSA, which represents a 14 percent increase in the number of census tracts since 2000. Of the census tracts, 1.79 percent are designated as low-income census tracts and 14.29 are designated as moderate-income census. The population of the AA has increased 24,057, or 10.4 percent, between the 2000 census and the 2010 census.

Based on the 2000 Census, the FFIEC Median Family Income in the Texas Non-MSA was \$36,380. As of the 2010 US Census, the Median Family Income increased by 32 percent to \$48,160. The family distribution under the US 2000 Census reflected 17.31 percent of families as low-income families and 17.08 percent as moderate-income families. The US 2010 Census reports a low-income family distribution of 18.38 percent and a moderate-income family distribution of 16.57 percent.

As of June 30, 2014, FFB had 17 offices located within 10 counties of the Texas-Non-MSA and 30.39 percent of total FFB's total deposits are attributed to the branch offices in this rating area.

Employment Factors

The 2000 US Census reflected an annualized unemployment rate for the Texas Non-MSA AA of 3.28 percent. This compared slightly favorably with the nationwide unemployment rate of 3.9 percent. Based on the US 2010 Census, the annualized unemployment rate for the Texas Non-MSA AA was 2.61 percent, comparing very favorably to the national unemployment rate of 9.4 percent. The 2000 US Census recorded 16 percent of households in the Texas Non-MSA AA as living below the poverty level. The 2010 US Census records 18 percent of households as living below the poverty level.

Economic Factors

Deaf Smith County - Hereford is the county seat of Deaf Smith County. The main lending opportunities within the county are business, agriculture and retail lending. Per the July 2012 census estimates, the population for the county was 14,311. The main industry is cattle feeding, earning the city the nickname "Cattle Capital of the World." With more than three million cattle fed within a 50-mile radius, it makes sense that the area would also have significant grain-production. Deaf Smith County also ranked in the state's top five milk-producing counties, making these three agribusinesses the economic base. Major employers include Hereford ISD, various medical care facilities and city and county municipalities. Competitors include other community banks, such as First National Bank – Hereford and Happy State Bank.

Eastland County – Eastland County is located in West Central Texas. Eastland is the county seat. Lending opportunities include a wide range of credit products, from business to agriculture to real estate and retail lending. As of December 31, 2013, First Financial's Eastland branches held 60 percent of the deposit market share and for 2012 were ranked twice in the top ten in the county for lending market share. Other competitors in the area include Prosperity Bank, Farmers & Merchants Bank, Ag Texas and Edward Jones. Major employers in the area include EBAA Iron, Ranger and Eastland ISD, Wal-Mart, Eastland Memorial Hospital and Ranger College.

Erath County – Stephenville is the county seat of Erath County. Erath County is now part of the Stephenville Micropolitan Statistical Area. Lending opportunities include the full range of credit

products, including home purchase and refinance loans, home equity –home improvement loans, commercial real estate and construction, large and small business loans, small farm loans and consumer loans. Major competitors in the Erath County market include Bank of America, Texas Bank, Inter-Bank, Members Trust Credit Union and Lone Star Land Bank. Major employers in the assessment area include Tarleton State University, Wal-Mart, Scheiber Foods and Texas Health Harris Methodist Hospital.

Fisher County – Roby is the county seat of Fisher County. The primary lending opportunities are business, agriculture and retail lending. Fisher County depends primarily upon gas leasing/drilling and farming for its employment and revenue. 2010 census data indicated that the most common occupations were in the farming and agricultural sectors, followed closely by construction associated with mineral production and gas extraction. Major employers in the area include the school districts for Roby and Rotan and the city and county municipalities. Major competitors in the Fisher County market include Citibank and First National Bank of Rotan.

Hood County - Granbury, Texas, is the county seat of Hood County. Located on the Edwards Plateau, Granbury is built along a section of the Brazos River that was dammed in order to create Lake Granbury. This provides for recreational and vacation home financing, as the Pecan Plantation resort subdivision is not far from the city. In this county, the lending opportunities include the full range of credit products: home purchase and refinance loans, home equity –home improvement loans, commercial real estate and construction, large and small business loans, small farm loans and consumer loans. The largest employer in Granbury is Granbury ISD. However, tourism is also considered a major contributor to the area's economy, accounting for more than \$40 million annually. Major competitors in the Hood County market include First National Bank - Granbury, Community Bank, Frost Bank and BBVs Compass Bank

Nolan County - Nolan County is located in the west central region of the state of Texas. The county seat is in Sweetwater. The primary lending opportunities are business, agriculture and retail lending. The area continues to experience excellent economic growth and offers potential for expanded opportunity in multiple areas. The agricultural economy centers around cattle and livestock products, but fifty percent of the annual agricultural income is from crops, especially cotton, wheat and sorghum. Along with agriculture, oil and gas exploration and wind power generation are also major players in the county's economy. Nolan County alone is home to 1,371 turbines, which includes one of the top ten largest wind farms in the United States. As of September 2012, Texas was the top state of fifty for most wind capacity. The recent groundbreaking for the BNSF Sweetwater Logistics Rail Center will provide the opportunity to meet the growing supply chain needs of this strong energy corridor. Major employers in the county include Ludlum Measurements, Sweetwater ISD, Rolling Plains Hospital and multiple energy and energy-related businesses. Major competitors in the Nolan County market include Texas National Bank, First National Bank of Sweetwater, Roscoe State Bank, and Citibank.

Palo Pinto County - The Mineral Wells Micropolitan Statistical Area includes the bank's assessment area, Palo Pinto County. Lending opportunities include the full range of credit products: home purchase and refinance loans, home equity –home improvement loans, commercial real estate and construction, large and small business loans, small farm loans and consumer loans. Major employers in the area include Mineral Wells ISD, Palo Pinto General Hospital, PECOFacet and Corrections Corporation of America. Major competitors in the Palo Pinto County market include Bank of America, First State Bank – Mineral Wells, Graham Savings and Loan, and Titan Bank.

Shackelford County – Albany, TX is the county seat of Shackelford County. Lending opportunities include a wide range of credit products, with an emphasis on agriculture, retail, real estate and small

business lending. Like many of the counties in the West Texas footprint, Shackelford is heavily weighted with agricultural and the oil and gas industry opportunities. The oil and gas production is substantial in the county with 558 producing leases, 122 producing operators and November 2013 records indicating 45,971 barrels of oil produced and 117,531 metric cubic feet of gas produced in the same period. Most employment is related to farming and the energy industry and few small businesses such as Ace Hardware and U-Haul. The major competitor in the Shackelford County market is the First National Bank of Albany.

Somervell County – Glen Rose, TX is the county seat of Somervell County. The lending opportunities include the full range of credit products: home purchase and refinance loans, home equity –home improvement loans, commercial real estate and construction, large and small business loans, small farm loans and consumer loans. Glen Rose is home to the Comanche Peak Nuclear Generating Station, one of two nuclear power plants in Texas. Glen Rose is a popular tourist destination that includes Dinosaur Valley State Park and Fossil Rim Wildlife Center. Glen Rose is the only city in the county and has become one of the premier locations for the rural American lifestyle. The community has also seen an increase in vacation homes and relocation for retirees. Somervell County is the second smallest county in the state. In addition to the nuclear plant, the chief industries in the county are farming, ranching and tourism. Major competitors in the Somervell County market include First Community bank, InterBank, and Prosperity Bank.

Walker County – Walker County is part of the Huntsville Micropolitan Statistical Area. The lending opportunities include a wide range of credit products, from business to agriculture to real estate and retail lending. In the past year, Huntsville has seen new businesses like Hobby Lobby and Olive Garden enter the market. The community also anticipates more growth with the addition of a new Hampton Inn and Suites, Academy Outdoor and CVS. Major employers in Walker County include the Texas Department of Criminal Justice, Sam Houston State University and Huntsville ISD. Major competitors in the Walker County market include Bank of America, First National Bank of Huntsville, First National Bank Texas, Compass Bank, Citizens National Bank, and Prosperity Bank.

Housing

Based on the 2000 Census, the median housing value in the Texas Non-MSA AA was \$62,641. Per the 2010 census, despite the nationwide slump in housing, the median housing value in the AA was \$101,110. However, there are severe limited opportunities for residential mortgage lending in low- and moderate-income census tracts. Per the 2010 census, only 1.06 percent of owner-occupied housing units were located in low-income census tracts and 7.2 percent were located in moderate-income geographies. Per the 2000 census, 6.5% of owner-occupied units were located in moderate-income census tracts. There were no low-income census tracts as of the 2010 US Census. Thus, opportunities for residential mortgage lending in low- and moderate-income census tracts are limited in relation to the opportunities in middle- and upper-income geographies.

Community Contacts

We utilized three Community Contact interviews conducted in the Deaf Smith, Hood and Palo Pinto counties during the evaluation period. Community credit needs range from affordable housing and small business loans to affordable banking services.

Appendix D: Tables of Performance Data

Content of Standardized Tables

References to the “bank” include activities of any affiliates that the bank provided for consideration (refer to appendix A: Scope of the Examination). For purposes of reviewing the lending test tables, the following are applicable: (1) purchased loans are treated as originations/purchases and market share is the number of loans originated and purchased by the bank as a percentage of the aggregate number of reportable loans originated and purchased by all lenders in the MA/assessment area; (2) Partially geocoded loans (loans where no census tract is provided) cannot be broken down by income geographies and, therefore, are only reflected in the Total Loans in Core Tables 2 through 7 and part of Table 13; and (3) Partially geocoded loans are included in the Total Loans and % Bank Loans Column in Core Tables 8 through 12 and part of Table 13. Tables without data are not included in this PE.

The following is a listing and brief description of the tables:

Table 1. Lending Volume - Presents the number and dollar amount of reportable loans originated and purchased by the bank over the evaluation period by MA/assessment area. Community development loans to statewide or regional entities or made outside the bank’s assessment area may receive positive CRA consideration. See Interagency Q&As __.12 (i) - 5 and - 6 for guidance on when a bank may receive positive CRA consideration for such loans. Refer to the CRA section of the Compliance Policy intranet page for guidance on table placement.

Table 1. Other Products - Presents the number and dollar amount of any unreported category of loans originated and purchased by the bank over the evaluation period by MA/assessment area. Examples include consumer loans or other data that a bank may provide, at its option, concerning its lending performance. This is a two-page table that lists specific categories.

Table 2. Geographic Distribution of Home Purchase Loans - Compares the percentage distribution of the number of loans originated and purchased by the bank in low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income geographies to the percentage distribution of owner-occupied housing units throughout those geographies. The table also presents market share information based on the most recent aggregate market data available.

Table 3. Geographic Distribution of Home Improvement Loans - See Table 2.

Table 4. Geographic Distribution of Home Mortgage Refinance Loans - See Table 2.

Table 5. Geographic Distribution of Multifamily Loans - Compares the percentage distribution of the number of multifamily loans originated and purchased by the bank in low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income geographies to the percentage distribution of multifamily housing units throughout those geographies. The table also presents market share information based on the most recent aggregate market data available.

Table 6. Geographic Distribution of Small Loans to Businesses - The percentage distribution of the number of small loans (less than or equal to \$1 million) to businesses originated and purchased by the bank in low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income geographies compared to the percentage

distribution of businesses (regardless of revenue size) throughout those geographies. The table also presents market share information based on the most recent aggregate market data available. Because small business data are not available for geographic areas smaller than counties, it may be necessary to use geographic areas larger than the bank's assessment area.

Table 7. Geographic Distribution of Small Loans to Farms - The percentage distribution of the number of small loans (less than or equal to \$500,000) to farms originated and purchased by the bank in low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income geographies compared to the percentage distribution of farms (regardless of revenue size) throughout those geographies. The table also presents market share information based on the most recent aggregate market data available. Because small farm data are not available for geographic areas smaller than counties, it may be necessary to use geographic areas larger than the bank's assessment area.

Table 8. Borrower Distribution of Home Purchase Loans - Compares the percentage distribution of the number of loans originated and purchased by the bank to low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income borrowers to the percentage distribution of families by income level in each MA/assessment area. The table also presents market share information based on the most recent aggregate market data available.

Table 9. Borrower Distribution of Home Improvement Loans - See Table 8.

Table 10. Borrower Distribution of Refinance Loans - See Table 8.

Table 11. Borrower Distribution of Small Loans to Businesses - Compares the percentage distribution of the number of small loans (less than or equal to \$1 million) originated and purchased by the bank to businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less to the percentage distribution of businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less. In addition, the table presents the percentage distribution of the number of loans originated and purchased by the bank by loan size, regardless of the revenue size of the business. Market share information is presented based on the most recent aggregate market data available.

Table 12. Borrower Distribution of Small Loans to Farms - Compares the percentage distribution of the number of small loans (less than or equal to \$500,000) originated and purchased by the bank to farms with revenues of \$1 million or less to the percentage distribution of farms with revenues of \$1 million or less. In addition, the table presents the percentage distribution of the number of loans originated and purchased by the bank by loan size, regardless of the revenue size of the farm. Market share information is presented based on the most recent aggregate market data available.

Table 13. Geographic and Borrower Distribution of Consumer Loans (OPTIONAL) - For geographic distribution, the table compares the percentage distribution of the number of loans originated and purchased by the bank in low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income geographies to the percentage distribution of households within each geography. For borrower distribution, the table compares the percentage distribution of the number of loans originated and purchased by the bank to low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income borrowers to the percentage of households by income level in each MA/assessment area.

Table 14. Qualified Investments - Presents the number and dollar amount of qualified investments made by the bank in each MA/AA. The table separately presents investments made during prior evaluation periods that are still outstanding and investments made during the current evaluation period.

Prior-period investments are reflected at their book value as of the end of the evaluation period. Current period investments are reflected at their original investment amount even if that amount is greater than the current book value of the investment. The table also presents the number and dollar amount of unfunded qualified investment commitments. In order to be included, an unfunded commitment must be legally binding and tracked and recorded by the bank's financial reporting system.

A bank may receive positive consideration for qualified investments in statewide/regional entities or made outside of the bank's assessment area. See Interagency Q&As __.12 (i) - 5 and - 6 for guidance on when a bank may receive positive CRA consideration for such investments. Refer to the CRA section of the Compliance Policy intranet page for guidance on table placement.

Table 15. Distribution of Branch Delivery System and Branch Openings/Closings - Compares the percentage distribution of the number of the bank's branches in low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income geographies to the percentage of the population within each geography in each MA/AA. The table also presents data on branch openings and closings in each MA/AA.

Table 1. Lending Volume

| LENDING VOLUME | | Geography: TEXAS 2013 | | | | | | Evaluation Period: JANUARY 1, 2013 TO DECEMBER 31, 2013 | | | | |
|------------------------|---|-----------------------|-----------|---------------------------|-----------|----------------------|-----------|---|-----------|----------------------|-----------|--|
| Assessment Area: | % of Rated Area Loans (#) in MA/AA [*] | Home Mortgage | | Small Loans to Businesses | | Small Loans to Farms | | Community Development Loans ^{**} | | Total Reported Loans | | % of Rated Area Deposits in MA/AA ^{***} |
| | | # | \$(000's) | # | \$(000's) | # | \$(000's) | # | \$(000's) | # | \$(000's) | |
| Full Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TX Non-MSA Counties | 32.94 | 797 | 84,431 | 1,155 | 89,342 | 500 | 29,140 | 14 | 9,000 | 2,466 | 211,913 | 30.39 |
| Abilene MSA | 25.18 | 1,070 | 125,915 | 655 | 67,605 | 149 | 7,521 | 25 | 43,000 | 1,899 | 244,041 | 30.83 |
| Ft Worth Arlington MD | 27.89 | 732 | 131,098 | 1,152 | 126,228 | 192 | 10,934 | 3 | 3,800 | 2,079 | 272,060 | 24.56 |
| Limited Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dallas Plano Irving MD | 3.63 | 173 | 24,996 | 89 | 9,773 | 8 | 720 | 0 | 0 | 270 | 35,489 | 2.59 |
| Odessa MSA | 0.21 | 7 | 813 | 9 | 749 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 1,562 | 0.22 |
| San Angelo MSA | 10.14 | 329 | 43,926 | 349 | 33,401 | 77 | 6,992 | 0 | 0 | 755 | 84,319 | 11.41 |

^{*} Loan Data as of December 31, 2013. Rated area refers to either state or multi-state MA rating area.

^{**} The evaluation period for Community Development Loans is from January 01, 2013 to December 31, 2013.

^{***} Deposit Data as of July 16, 2014. Rated Area refers to either the state, multi-state MA, or institution, as appropriate.

Table 2. Geographic Distribution of Home Purchase Loans

| Geographic Distribution: HOME PURCHASE | | | Geography: TEXAS 2013 | | | | Evaluation Period: JANUARY 1, 2013 TO DECEMBER 31, 2013 | | | | | Market Share (%) by Geography | | | | |
|--|---------------------------|------------|------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|--------------|---|--------------|--------------------------|--------------|---------|-------------------------------|------|------|------|--|
| Assessment Area: | Total Home Purchase Loans | | Low-Income Geographies | | Moderate-Income Geographies | | Middle-Income Geographies | | Upper-Income Geographies | | Overall | Low | Mod | Mid | Upp | |
| | # | % of Total | % Owner Occ Units | % BANK Loans | % Owner Occ Units | % BANK Loans | % Owner Occ Units | % BANK Loans | % Owner Occ Units | % BANK Loans | | | | | | |
| Full Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TX Non-MSA Counties | 382 | 25.99 | 1.06 | 0.52 | 7.02 | 8.90 | 49.99 | 45.81 | 41.94 | 44.76 | 1.24 | 0.00 | 1.43 | 1.29 | 1.23 | |
| Abilene MSA | 506 | 34.42 | 1.68 | 0.79 | 18.26 | 7.91 | 51.33 | 36.56 | 28.73 | 54.74 | 13.2 | 22.2 | 11.7 | 12.0 | 14.3 | |
| Ft Worth Arlington MD | 299 | 20.34 | 3.47 | 1.34 | 19.93 | 13.04 | 41.75 | 49.16 | 34.85 | 36.45 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.01 | |
| Limited Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dallas Plano Irving MD | 69 | 4.69 | 1.38 | 1.45 | 6.32 | 4.35 | 36.99 | 49.28 | 55.31 | 44.93 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | |
| Odessa MSA | 3 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 21.89 | 0.00 | 48.01 | 33.33 | 30.09 | 66.67 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.56 | 0.47 | 0.22 | |
| San Angelo MSA | 211 | 14.35 | 1.33 | 0.47 | 26.20 | 14.22 | 45.29 | 44.55 | 27.17 | 40.76 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |

Table 3. Geographic Distribution of Home Improvement Loans

| Geographic Distribution: HOME IMPROVEMENT | | | Geography: TEXAS 2013 | | | | | | Evaluation Period: JANUARY 1, 2013 TO DECEMBER 31, 2013 | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|--------------|---|--------------|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Assessment Area: | Total Home Improvement Loans | | Low-Income Geographies | | Moderate-Income Geographies | | Middle-Income Geographies | | Upper-Income Geographies | | Market Share (%) by Geography [*] | | | | |
| | # | % of Total ^{**} | % Owner Occ Units ^{***} | % BANK Loans ^{****} | % Owner Occ Units ^{***} | % BANK Loans | % Owner Occ Units ^{***} | % BANK Loans | % Owner Occ Units ^{***} | % BANK Loans | Overall | Low | Mod | Mid | Upp |
| Full Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TX Non-MSA Counties | 225 | 25.98 | 1.06 | 0.44 | 7.02 | 9.78 | 49.99 | 56.44 | 41.94 | 33.33 | 8.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 15.31 | 2.00 |
| Abilene MSA | 269 | 31.06 | 1.68 | 0.37 | 18.26 | 11.52 | 51.33 | 49.44 | 28.73 | 38.66 | 60.69 | 42.86 | 61.70 | 63.98 | 56.83 |
| Ft Worth Arlington MD | 246 | 28.41 | 3.47 | 0.41 | 19.93 | 15.04 | 41.75 | 48.78 | 34.85 | 35.77 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Limited Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dallas Plano Irving MD | 68 | 7.85 | 1.38 | 2.94 | 6.32 | 1.47 | 36.99 | 41.18 | 55.31 | 54.41 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Odessa MSA | 3 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 21.89 | 0.00 | 48.01 | 33.33 | 30.09 | 66.67 | 1.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.94 |
| San Angelo MSA | 55 | 6.35 | 1.33 | 1.82 | 26.20 | 40.00 | 45.29 | 40.00 | 27.17 | 18.18 | 0.63 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.35 | 0.00 |

^{*} Based on 2012 Peer Mortgage Data (USPR)

^{**} Home improvement loans originated and purchased in the MA/AA as a percentage of all home improvement loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

^{***} Percentage of Owner Occupied Units is the number of owner occupied units in a particular geography divided by the number of owner occupied housing units in the area based on 2010 Census information.

^{****} Data shown includes only One to Four-family and manufactured housing. (Property type of 1 or 2)

Table 4. Geographic Distribution of Home Mortgage Refinance Loans

| Geographic Distribution: HOME MORTGAGE REFINANCE | | | Geography: TEXAS 2013 | | | | Evaluation Period: JANUARY 1, 2013 TO DECEMBER 31, 2013 | | | | | Market Share (%) by Geography [*] | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|---|--------------|----------------------------------|--------------|---------|--|------|-------|-------|--|
| Assessment Area: | Total Home Mortgage Refinance Loans | | Low-Income Geographies | | Moderate-Income Geographies | | Middle-Income Geographies | | Upper-Income Geographies | | Overall | Low | Mod | Mid | Upp | |
| | # | % of Total ^{**} | % Owner Occ ^{***} Units | % BANK Loans ^{****} | % Owner Occ Units ^{**} | % BANK Loans | % Owner Occ Units ^{***} | % BANK Loans | % Owner Occ Units ^{***} | % BANK Loans | | | | | | |
| Full Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TX Non-MSA Counties | 189 | 24.51 | 1.06 | 0.00 | 7.02 | 2.65 | 49.99 | 46.56 | 41.94 | 50.79 | 1.09 | 0.0 | 1.47 | 1.69 | 0.70 | |
| Abilene MSA | 295 | 38.26 | 1.68 | 0.34 | 18.26 | 9.15 | 51.33 | 38.98 | 28.73 | 51.53 | 13.87 | 9.1 | 12.6 | 14.21 | 13.85 | |
| Ft Worth Arlington MD | 187 | 24.25 | 3.47 | 0.00 | 19.93 | 13.37 | 41.75 | 47.59 | 34.85 | 39.04 | 0.02 | 0.0 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.01 | |
| Limited Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dallas Plano Irving MD | 36 | 4.67 | 1.38 | 2.78 | 6.32 | 2.78 | 36.99 | 25.00 | 55.31 | 69.44 | 0.01 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | |
| Odessa MSA | 1 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 21.89 | 0.00 | 48.01 | 0.00 | 30.09 | 100.00 | 0.34 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.63 | 0.20 | |
| San Angelo MSA | 63 | 8.17 | 1.33 | 0.00 | 26.20 | 20.63 | 45.29 | 36.51 | 27.17 | 42.86 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |

^{*} Based on 2012 Peer Mortgage Data (USPR)

^{**} Home refinance loans originated and purchased in the MA/AA as a percentage of all home refinance loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

^{***} Percentage of Owner Occupied Units is the number of owner occupied units in a particular geography divided by the number of owner occupied housing units in the area based on 2010 Census information.

^{****} Data shown includes only One to Four-family and manufactured housing. (Property type of 1 or 2)

Table 6. Geographic Distribution of Small Loans to Businesses

| Geographic Distribution: SMALL LOANS TO BUSINESSES | | | Geography: TEXAS 2013 | | | | Evaluation Period: JANUARY 1, 2013 TO DECEMBER 31, 2013 | | | | | Market Share (%) by Geography [*] | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|--------------|---|--------------|--------------------------|--------------|---------|--|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Assessment Area: | Total Small Business Loans | | Low-Income Geographies | | Moderate-Income Geographies | | Middle-Income Geographies | | Upper-Income Geographies | | Overall | Low | Mod | Mid | Upp | |
| | # | % of Total ^{**} | % of Businesses | % BANK Loans | % of Businesses | % BANK Loans | % of Businesses | % BANK Loans | % of Businesses | % BANK Loans | | | | | | |
| Full Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TX Non-MSA Counties | 1,155 | 33.90 | 1.08 | 0.61 | 9.57 | 6.49 | 47.48 | 56.28 | 41.87 | 36.62 | 2.72 | 0.00 | 0.36 | 5.88 | 0.38 | |
| Abilene MSA | 653 | 19.17 | 1.63 | 1.38 | 21.46 | 17.46 | 51.07 | 47.47 | 25.04 | 33.69 | 20.52 | 13.51 | 19.73 | 17.63 | 31.29 | |
| Ft Worth Arlington MD | 1,152 | 33.81 | 4.43 | 1.91 | 20.75 | 29.25 | 37.48 | 41.32 | 37.20 | 27.52 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | |
| Limited Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dallas Plano Irving MD | 89 | 2.61 | 3.96 | 6.74 | 9.07 | 1.12 | 33.49 | 24.72 | 53.48 | 67.42 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.03 | |
| Odessa MSA | 9 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 17.80 | 0.00 | 45.44 | 22.22 | 36.76 | 77.78 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.51 | 0.18 | 0.73 | |
| San Angelo MSA | 349 | 10.24 | 11.18 | 9.46 | 16.07 | 9.74 | 44.38 | 53.01 | 28.01 | 27.79 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.34 | 0.25 | |

^{*} Based on 2012 Peer Small Business Data -- US and PR

^{**} Small loans to businesses originated and purchased in the MA/AA as a percentage of all small loans to businesses originated and purchased in the rated area.

Table 7. Geographic Distribution of Small Loans to Farms

| Geographic Distribution: SMALL LOANS TO FARMS | | | Geography: TEXAS 2013 | | | | Evaluation Period: JANUARY 1, 2013 TO DECEMBER 31, 2013 | | | | | Market Share (%) by Geography* | | | | |
|---|------------------------|--------------|------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|--------------|---|--------------|--------------------------|--------------|---------|--------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Assessment Area: | Total Small Farm Loans | | Low-Income Geographies | | Moderate-Income Geographies | | Middle-Income Geographies | | Upper-Income Geographies | | Overall | Low | Mod | Mid | Upp | |
| | # | % of Total** | % of Farms*** | % BANK Loans | % of Farms*** | % BANK Loans | % of Farms*** | % BANK Loans | % of Farms*** | % BANK Loans | | | | | | |
| Full Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TX Non-MSA Counties | 500 | 54.00 | 0.28 | 0.00 | 4.65 | 4.60 | 57.86 | 66.40 | 37.21 | 29.00 | 11.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.44 | 4.76 | |
| Abilene MSA | 149 | 16.09 | 0.19 | 0.67 | 12.90 | 2.01 | 55.53 | 68.46 | 31.38 | 28.86 | 40.88 | 0.00 | 35.29 | 37.22 | 55.41 | |
| Ft Worth Arlington MD | 192 | 20.73 | 2.91 | 0.52 | 17.24 | 4.69 | 46.09 | 72.92 | 33.75 | 21.88 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| Limited Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dallas Plano Irving MD | 8 | 0.86 | 2.13 | 0.00 | 8.03 | 12.50 | 46.08 | 12.50 | 43.76 | 75.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| Odessa MSA | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 9.23 | 0.00 | 45.64 | 0.00 | 45.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| San Angelo MSA | 77 | 8.32 | 5.32 | 5.19 | 7.81 | 3.90 | 37.71 | 33.77 | 49.17 | 57.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |

* Based on 2012 Peer Small Business Data -- US and PR

** Small loans to farms originated and purchased in the MA/AA as a percentage of all small loans to farms originated and purchased in the rated area.

*** Source Data - Dun and Bradstreet (2013).

Table 8. Borrower Distribution of Home Purchase Loans

| Borrower Distribution: HOME PURCHASE | | Geography: TEXAS 2013 | | | | | Evaluation Period: JANUARY 1, 2013 TO DECEMBER 31, 2013 | | | | | Market Share [*] | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|---|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|---------|---------------------------|-------|------|-------|--|
| Assessment Area: | Total Home Purchase Loans | | Low-Income Borrowers | | Moderate-Income Borrowers | | Middle-Income Borrowers | | Upper-Income Borrowers | | Overall | Low | Mod | Mid | Upp | |
| | # | % of Total ^{**} | % Families ^{***} | % BANK Loans ^{****} | % Families ¹ | % BANK Loans ^{****} | % Families ^{***} | % BANK Loans ^{****} | % Families ^{***} | % BANK Loans ^{****} | | | | | | |
| Full Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TX Non-MSA Counties | 382 | 25.99 | 18.78 | 3.65 | 16.57 | 11.80 | 19.15 | 18.26 | 45.49 | 66.29 | 1.27 | 0.00 | 1.48 | 0.88 | 1.41 | |
| Abilene MSA | 506 | 34.42 | 21.18 | 3.38 | 17.40 | 9.92 | 21.18 | 23.42 | 40.25 | 63.29 | 14.90 | 11.29 | 13.03 | 7.21 | 19.78 | |
| FT Worth Arlington MD | 299 | 20.34 | 21.54 | 4.35 | 17.63 | 13.04 | 19.69 | 19.37 | 41.14 | 63.24 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.04 | |
| Limited Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dallas Plano Irving MD | 69 | 4.69 | 14.56 | 1.56 | 14.53 | 15.63 | 19.41 | 14.06 | 51.50 | 68.75 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.01 | |
| Odessa MSA | 3 | 0.20 | 24.43 | 0.00 | 15.69 | 0.00 | 19.16 | 0.00 | 40.72 | 100.00 | 0.37 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.16 | 0.61 | |
| San Angelo MSA | 211 | 14.35 | 22.02 | 4.88 | 18.34 | 12.20 | 19.42 | 20.00 | 40.21 | 62.93 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |

^{*} Based on 2012 Peer Mortgage Data (USPR)

^{**} Home purchase loans originated and purchased in the MA/AA as a percentage of all home purchase loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

^{***} Percentage of Families is based on the 2010 Census information.

^{****} As a percentage of loans with borrower income information available. No information was available for 7.9% of loans originated and purchased by bank.

¹ Data shown includes only One to Four-family and manufactured housing. (Property type of 1 or 2)

Table 9. Borrower Distribution of Home Improvement Loans

| Borrower Distribution: HOME IMPROVEMENT | | | Geography: TEXAS 2013 | | | | Evaluation Period: JANUARY 1, 2013 TO DECEMBER 31, 2013 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|---|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Assessment Area: | Total Home Improvement Loans | | Low-Income Borrowers | | Moderate-Income Borrowers | | Middle-Income Borrowers | | Upper-Income Borrowers | | Market Share [*] | | | | | |
| | # | % of Total ^{**} | % Families ^{***} | % BANK Loans ^{****} | % Families ₂ | % BANK Loans ^{****} | % Families ^{***} | % BANK Loans ^{****} | % Families ^{***} | % BANK Loans ^{****} | Overall | Low | Mod | Mid | Upp | |
| Full Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TX Non-MSA Counties | 225 | 25.98 | 18.78 | 8.80 | 16.57 | 17.13 | 19.15 | 23.15 | 45.49 | 50.93 | 8.04 | 0.00 | 16.67 | 20.00 | 5.04 | |
| Abilene MSA | 269 | 31.06 | 21.18 | 7.75 | 17.40 | 12.79 | 21.18 | 20.93 | 40.25 | 58.53 | 60.71 | 57.14 | 67.92 | 63.95 | 57.87 | |
| Fort Worth Arlington MD | 246 | 28.41 | 21.54 | 11.11 | 17.63 | 15.64 | 19.69 | 18.11 | 41.14 | 55.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| Limited Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dallas Plano Irving MD | 68 | 7.85 | 14.56 | 0.00 | 14.53 | 4.48 | 19.41 | 10.45 | 51.50 | 85.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| Odessa MSA | 3 | 0.35 | 24.43 | 0.00 | 15.69 | 0.00 | 19.16 | 0.00 | 40.72 | 100.00 | 1.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.82 | |
| San Angelo MSA | 55 | 6.35 | 22.02 | 7.41 | 18.34 | 20.37 | 19.42 | 24.07 | 40.21 | 48.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |

^{*} Based on 2012 Peer Mortgage Data (USPR)

^{**} Home improvement loans originated and purchased in the MA/AA as a percentage of all home improvement loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

^{***} Percentage of Families is based on the 2010 Census information.

^{****} As a percentage of loans with borrower income information available. No information was available for 2.9% of loans originated and purchased by bank.

² Data shown includes only One to Four-family and manufactured housing. (Property type of 1 or 2)

Table 10. Borrower Distribution of Home Mortgage Refinance Loans

| Borrower Distribution: HOME MORTGAGE REFINANCE | | | Geography: TEXAS 2013 | | | | | | Evaluation Period: JANUARY 1, 2013 TO DECEMBER 31, 2013 | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|---|------------------------------|---------------------------|------|-------|-------|-------|
| Assessment Area: | Total Home Mortgage Refinance Loans | | Low-Income Borrowers | | Moderate-Income Borrowers | | Middle-Income Borrowers | | Upper-Income Borrowers | | Market Share [*] | | | | |
| | # | % of Total ^{**} | % Families ^{***} | % BANK Loans ^{****} | % Families ³ | % BANK Loans ^{****} | % Families ^{***} | % BANK Loans ^{****} | % Families ^{***} | % BANK Loans ^{****} | Overall | Low | Mod | Mid | Upp |
| Full Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TX Non-MSA Counties | 189 | 24.51 | 18.78 | 2.78 | 16.57 | 5.56 | 19.15 | 17.22 | 45.49 | 74.44 | 1.34 | 0.00 | 0.62 | 2.62 | 1.17 |
| Abilene MSA | 295 | 38.26 | 21.18 | 1.44 | 17.40 | 5.78 | 21.18 | 20.58 | 40.25 | 72.20 | 18.14 | 8.97 | 11.86 | 15.97 | 20.64 |
| Ft Worth Arlington MD | 187 | 24.25 | 21.54 | 3.37 | 17.63 | 10.11 | 19.69 | 21.91 | 41.14 | 64.61 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| Limited Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dallas Plano Irving MD | 36 | 4.67 | 14.56 | 0.00 | 14.53 | 24.24 | 19.41 | 27.27 | 51.50 | 48.48 | 0.01 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| Odessa MSA | 1 | 0.13 | 24.43 | 0.00 | 15.69 | 0.00 | 19.16 | 0.00 | 40.72 | 100.00 | 0.43 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.66 |
| San Angelo MSA | 63 | 8.17 | 22.02 | 3.28 | 18.34 | 14.75 | 19.42 | 19.67 | 40.21 | 62.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

^{*} Based on 2012 Peer Mortgage Data (USPR)

^{**} Home refinance loans originated and purchased in the MA/AA as a percentage of all home refinance loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

^{***} Percentage of Families is based on the 2010 Census information.

^{****} As a percentage of loans with borrower income information available. No information was available for 5.3% of loans originated and purchased by bank.

³ Data shown includes only One to Four-family and manufactured housing. (Property type of 1 or 2)

Table 11. Borrower Distribution of Small Loans to Businesses

| Borrower Distribution: SMALL LOANS TO BUSINESSES | | | Geography: TEXAS 2013 | | Evaluation Period: JANUARY 1, 2013 TO DECEMBER 31, 2013 | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---|------------------------------|---|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| Assessment Area: | Total Small Loans to Businesses | | Businesses With Revenues of \$1 million or less | | Loans by Original Amount Regardless of Business Size | | | Market Share [*] | |
| | # | % of Total ^{**} | % of Businesses ^{***} | % BANK Loans ^{****} | \$100,000 or less | >\$100,000 to \$250,000 | >\$250,000 to \$1,000,000 | All | Rev \$1 Million or Less |
| Full Review: | | | | | | | | | |
| TX Non-MSA Counties | 1,155 | 33.88 | 73.09 | 15.24 | 81.90 | 10.30 | 7.79 | 2.72 | 3.32 |
| Abilene MSA | 655 | 19.21 | 71.85 | 32.82 | 77.10 | 11.60 | 11.30 | 20.52 | 22.90 |
| Ft Worth Arlington MD | 1,152 | 33.79 | 71.06 | 10.50 | 68.84 | 19.70 | 11.46 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| Limited Review: | | | | | | | | | |
| Dallas Plano Irving MD | 89 | 2.61 | 73.31 | 22.47 | 74.16 | 13.48 | 12.36 | 0.04 | 0.00 |
| Odessa MSA | 9 | 0.26 | 71.11 | 0.00 | 66.67 | 22.22 | 11.11 | 0.40 | 0.53 |
| San Angelo MSA | 349 | 10.24 | 71.19 | 29.51 | 75.64 | 14.90 | 9.46 | 0.21 | 0.00 |

^{*} Based on 2012 Peer Small Business Data -- US and PR

^{**} Small loans to businesses originated and purchased in the MA/AA as a percentage of all small loans to businesses originated and purchased in the rated area.

^{***} Businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less as a percentage of all businesses (Source D&B - 2013).

^{****} Small loans to businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less as a percentage of all loans reported as small loans to businesses. No information was available for 62.95% of small loans to businesses originated and purchased by the bank.

Table 12. Borrower Distribution of Small Loans to Farms

| Borrower Distribution: SMALL LOANS TO FARMS | | Geography: TEXAS 2013 | | | Evaluation Period: JANUARY 1, 2013 TO DECEMBER 31, 2013 | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|--------------------------|--|------------------------------|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| Assessment Area: | Total Small Loans to Farms | | Farms With Revenues of \$1 million or less | | Loans by Original Amount Regardless of Farm Size | | | Market Share [*] | |
| | # | % of Total ^{**} | % of Farms ^{***} | % BANK Loans ^{****} | \$100,000 or less | >\$100,000 to \$250,000 | >\$250,000 to \$500,000 | All | Rev \$1 Million or Less |
| Full Review: | | | | | | | | | |
| TX Non-MSA Counties | 500 | 54.00 | 97.01 | 18.80 | 85.00 | 10.00 | 5.00 | 11.06 | 8.84 |
| Abilene MSA | 149 | 16.09 | 98.46 | 21.48 | 85.91 | 12.08 | 2.01 | 40.88 | 33.50 |
| FT Worth Arlington MD | 192 | 20.73 | 97.62 | 4.69 | 86.98 | 8.33 | 4.69 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Limited Review: | | | | | | | | | |
| Dallas Plano Irving MD | 8 | 0.86 | 97.69 | 0.00 | 75.00 | 12.50 | 12.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Odessa MSA | 0 | 0.00 | 98.97 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| San Angelo MSA | 77 | 8.32 | 98.01 | 55.84 | 66.23 | 27.27 | 6.49 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

^{*} Based on 2012 Peer Small Business Data -- US and PR

^{**} Small loans to farms originated and purchased in the MA/AA as a percentage of all small loans to farms originated and purchased in the rated area.

^{***} Farms with revenues of \$1 million or less as a percentage of all farms (Source D&B - 2013).

^{****} Small loans to farms with revenues of \$1 million or less as a percentage of all loans reported as small loans to farms. No information was available for 76.67% of small loans to farms originated and purchased by the bank.

Table 14. Qualified Investments

| QUALIFIED INVESTMENTS | | Geography: TEXAS 2013 | | Evaluation Period: October 1, 2010 TO June 30, 2013 | |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| Assessment Area: | Prior Period Investments [*] | Current Period Investments | Total Investments | | Unfunded Commitments ^{**} |
| | \$(000's) | \$(000's) | \$(000's) | % of Total | \$(000's) |
| Full Review: | | | | | |
| TX Non-MSA Counties | \$311 | \$7,367 | \$7,678 | 30.75 | \$0 |
| Abilene MSA | \$0 | \$4,923 | \$4,923 | 19.71 | \$0 |
| FT Worth Arlington MD | \$816 | \$5,090 | \$5,906 | 23.65 | \$0 |
| Limited Review: | | | | | |
| Dallas Plano Irving MD | \$0 | \$781 | \$781 | 3.13 | \$0 |
| Odessa MSA | \$0 | \$142 | \$142 | 0.57 | \$0 |
| San Angelo MSA | \$5,080 | \$212 | 5,292 | 21.19 | \$0 |

^{*} 'Prior Period Investments' means investments made in a previous period that are outstanding as of the examination date.

^{**} 'Unfunded Commitments' means legally binding investment commitments that are tracked and recorded by the institution's financial reporting system.

Table 15. Distribution of Branch Delivery System and Branch Openings/Closings

| DISTRIBUTION OF BRANCH DELIVERY SYSTEM AND BRANCH OPENINGS/CLOSINGS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Geography: Texas | | Evaluation Period: JANUARY 1, 2012 TO DECEMBER 31, 2013 | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|-------|-------|--------------------------|----------------------|---|-----|-----|-----|---------------------------------------|-------|-------|------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| MA/Assessment Area: | Deposits | Branches | | | | | | Branch Openings/Closings | | | | | | Population | | | | | | | | |
| | % of Rated Area Deposits in AA | # of BANK Branches | % of Rated Area Branches in AA | Location of Branches by Income of Geographies (%) | | | | # of Branch Openings | # of Branch Closings | Net change in Location of Branches (+ or -) | | | | % of Population within Each Geography | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Low | Mod | Mid | Upp | | | Low | Mod | Mid | Upp | Low | Mod | Mid | Upp | | | | | |
| Full Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Abilene MSA | 30.83 | 15 | 27.27 | 0.00 | 6.67 | 80 | 13.33 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | +1 | 2.47 | 23.75 | 48.64 | 21.82 | | | | | |
| TX Non-MSA Counties | 30.39 | 17 | 27.27 | 0.00 | 20.00 | 33.33 | 46.67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.90 | 10.99 | 50.04 | 36.07 | | | | | |
| Fort Worth Arlington MD | 24.56 | 18 | 32.73 | 5.56 | 16.67 | 50 | 27.78 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | +1 | +2 | 6.33 | 25.31 | 39.51 | 28.85 | | | | | |
| Limited Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dallas Plano Irving MD | 2.59 | 3 | 5.45 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 33.33 | 66.67 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | +1 | 0 | 4.00 | 11.61 | 37.62 | 46.77 | | | | | |
| Odessa MSA | 0.22 | 1 | 1.82 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 23.50 | 46.83 | 29.68 | | | | | |
| San Angelo MSA | 11.41 | 3 | 5.45 | 33.33 | 0.00 | 33.33 | 33.33 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | +1 | 0 | 2.35 | 30.41 | 43.15 | 24.08 | | | | | |

Table 1. Lending Volume

| LENDING VOLUME | | Geography: TEXAS 2012 | | | | | | Evaluation Period: JANUARY 1, 2012 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012 | | | | |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------|---------------------------|------------|----------------------|------------|---|------------|----------------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|
| Assessment Area: | % of Rated Area Loans (#) in MA/AA | Home Mortgage | | Small Loans to Businesses | | Small Loans to Farms | | Community Development Loans** | | Total Reported Loans | | % of Rated Area Deposits in MA/AA*** |
| | | # | \$ (000's) | # | \$ (000's) | # | \$ (000's) | # | \$ (000's) | # | \$(000's) | |
| Full Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Abilene MSA | 91.17 | 918 | 104,883 | 573 | 52,037 | 131 | 7,253 | 0 | 0 | 1,622 | 164,173 | 0.00 |
| Limited Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Odessa MSA | 1.24 | 12 | 1,168 | 10 | 1,034 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 2,202 | 0.00 |
| Shackelford County AA | 7.59 | 26 | 1,527 | 76 | 2,945 | 33 | 1,307 | 0 | 0 | 135 | 5,779 | 0.00 |

* Loan Data as of December 31, 2012. Rated area refers to either state or multi-state MA rating area.

** The evaluation period for Community Development Loans is from January 01, 2012 to December 31, 2012.

*** Deposit Data as of July 16, 2014. Rated Area refers to either the state, multi-state MA, or institution, as appropriate.

Table 2. Geographic Distribution of Home Purchase Loans

| Geographic Distribution: HOME PURCHASE | | Geography: TEXAS 2012 | | | | | | Evaluation Period: JANUARY 1, 2012 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012 | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|---|--------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Assessment Area: | Total Home Purchase Loans | | Low-Income Geographies | | Moderate-Income Geographies | | Middle-Income Geographies | | Upper-Income Geographies | | Market Share (%) by Geography* | | | | |
| | # | % of Total** | % Owner Occ Units*** | % BANK Loans**** | % Owner Occ Units*** | % BANK Loans | % Owner Occ Units*** | % BANK Loans | % Owner Occ Units*** | % BANK Loans | Overall | Low | Mod | Mid | Upp |
| Full Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Abilene MSA | 368 | 96.08 | 1.68 | 1.09 | 18.26 | 7.61 | 51.33 | 36.41 | 28.73 | 54.89 | 13.23 | 22.22 | 11.67 | 12.03 | 14.32 |
| Limited Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Odessa MSA | 8 | 2.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 21.89 | 12.50 | 48.01 | 50.00 | 30.09 | 37.50 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.56 | 0.47 | 0.22 |
| Shackelford County | 7 | 1.83 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 41.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 41.18 | 0.00 |

* Based on 2012 Peer Mortgage Data (USPR)

** Home purchase loans originated and purchased in the MA/AA as a percentage of all home purchase loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

*** Percentage of Owner Occupied Units is the number of owner occupied units in a particular geography divided by the number of owner occupied housing units in the area based on 2010 Census information.

**** Data shown includes only One to Four-family and manufactured housing. (Property type of 1 or 2)

Table 3. Geographic Distribution of Home Improvement Loans

| Geographic Distribution: HOME IMPROVEMENT | | | Geography: TEXAS 2012 | | | | Evaluation Period: JANUARY 1, 2012 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|---|--------------|----------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Assessment Area: | Total Home Improvement Loans | | Low-Income Geographies | | Moderate-Income Geographies | | Middle-Income Geographies | | Upper-Income Geographies | | Market Share (%) by Geography * | | | | | |
| | # | % of Total | % Owner Occ ^{***} Units | % BANK Loans ^{****} | % Owner Occ ^{***} Units | % BANK Loans | % Owner Occ ^{***} Units | % BANK Loans | % Owner Occ ^{***} Units | % BANK Loans | Overall | Low | Mod | Mid | Upp | |
| Full Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Abilene MSA | 230 | 94.65 | 1.68 | 1.30 | 18.26 | 12.61 | 51.33 | 51.74 | 28.73 | 34.35 | 60.69 | 42.86 | 61.70 | 63.98 | 56.83 | |
| Limited Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Odessa MSA | 1 | 0.41 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 21.89 | 0.00 | 48.01 | 0.00 | 30.09 | 100.00 | 1.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.94 | |
| Shackelford County | 12 | 4.94 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 92.31 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 92.31 | 0.00 | |

* Based on 2012 Peer Mortgage Data (USPR)

** Home improvement loans originated and purchased in the MA/AA as a percentage of all home improvement loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

*** Percentage of Owner Occupied Units is the number of owner occupied units in a particular geography divided by the number of owner occupied housing units in the area based on 2010 Census information.

**** Data shown includes only One to Four-family and manufactured housing. (Property type of 1 or 2)

Table 4. Geographic Distribution of Home Mortgage Refinance Loans

| Geographic Distribution: HOME MORTGAGE REFINANCE | | | Geography: TEXAS 2012 | | | | Evaluation Period: JANUARY 1, 2012 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012 | | | | | Market Share (%) by Geography* | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------|------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|---|--------------|--------------------------|--------------|---------|--------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Assessment Area: | Total Home Mortgage Refinance Loans | | Low-Income Geographies | | Moderate-Income Geographies | | Middle-Income Geographies | | Upper-Income Geographies | | Overall | Low | Mod | Mid | Upp | |
| | # | % of Total** | % Owner Occ Units*** | % BANK Loans**** | % Owner Occ Units*** | % BANK Loans | % Owner Occ Units*** | % BANK Loans | % Owner Occ Units*** | % BANK Loans | | | | | | |
| Full Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Abilene MSA | 319 | 96.96 | 1.68 | 0.31 | 18.26 | 6.58 | 51.33 | 40.13 | 28.73 | 52.98 | 13.87 | 9.09 | 12.57 | 14.21 | 13.85 | |
| Limited Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Odessa MSA | 3 | 0.91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 21.89 | 0.00 | 48.01 | 66.67 | 30.09 | 33.33 | 0.34 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.63 | 0.20 | |
| Shackelford County | 7 | 2.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 30.43 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 30.43 | 0.00 | |

* Based on 2012 Peer Mortgage Data (USPR)

** Home refinance loans originated and purchased in the MA/AA as a percentage of all home refinance loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

*** Percentage of Owner Occupied Units is the number of owner occupied units in a particular geography divided by the number of owner occupied housing units in the area based on 2010 Census information.

**** Data shown includes only One to Four-family and manufactured housing. (Property type of 1 or 2)

Table 6. Geographic Distribution of Small Loans to Businesses

| Geographic Distribution: SMALL LOANS TO BUSINESSES | | | Geography: TEXAS 2012 | | | | Evaluation Period: JANUARY 1, 2012 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012 | | | | | Market Share (%) by Geography [*] | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------|--------------|---|--------------|--------------------------------|--------------|---------|--|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Assessment Area: | Total Small Business Loans | | Low-Income Geographies | | Moderate-Income Geographies | | Middle-Income Geographies | | Upper-Income Geographies | | Overall | Low | Mod | Mid | Upp | |
| | # | % of Total ^{**} | % of Businesses ^{***} | % BANK Loans | % of Businesses ^{***} | % BANK Loans | % of Businesses ^{***} | % BANK Loans | % of Businesses ^{***} | % BANK Loans | | | | | | |
| Full Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Abilene MSA | 573 | 86.95 | 1.59 | 0.87 | 21.42 | 19.72 | 51.63 | 40.14 | 24.56 | 39.27 | 20.52 | 13.51 | 19.73 | 17.63 | 31.29 | |
| Limited Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Odessa MSA | 10 | 1.52 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 17.98 | 20.00 | 45.03 | 20.00 | 36.99 | 60.00 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.51 | 0.18 | 0.73 | |
| Shackelford County | 76 | 11.53 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.66 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 53.85 | 0.00 | |

^{*} Based on 2012 Peer Small Business Data -- US and PR

^{**} Small loans to businesses originated and purchased in the MA/AA as a percentage of all small loans to businesses originated and purchased in the rated area.

^{***} Source Data - Dun and Bradstreet (2012).

Table 7. Geographic Distribution of Small Loans to Farms

| Geographic Distribution: SMALL LOANS TO FARMS | | | | Geography: TEXAS 2012 | | | | Evaluation Period: JANUARY 1, 2012 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012 | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|--------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|---|--------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|------|-------|-------|-------|
| Assessment Area: | Total Small Farm Loans | | Low-Income Geographies | | Moderate-Income Geographies | | Middle-Income Geographies | | Upper-Income Geographies | | Market Share (%) by Geography * | | | | |
| | # | % of Total** | % of Farms*** | % BANK Loans | % of Farms*** | % BANK Loans | % of Farms*** | % BANK Loans | % of Farms*** | % BANK Loans | Overall | Low | Mod | Mid | Upp |
| Full Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Abilene MSA | 131 | 79.88 | 0.31 | 0.00 | 14.55 | 5.34 | 53.89 | 64.89 | 31.25 | 29.77 | 40.88 | 0.00 | 35.29 | 37.22 | 55.41 |
| Limited Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Odessa MSA | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.48 | 0.00 | 46.19 | 0.00 | 43.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Shackelford County | 33 | 20.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 80.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 80.00 | 0.00 |

* Based on 2012 Peer Small Business Data -- US and PR

** Small loans to farms originated and purchased in the MA/AA as a percentage of all small loans to farms originated and purchased in the rated area.

*** Source Data - Dun and Bradstreet (2012).

Table 8. Borrower Distribution of Home Purchase Loans

| Borrower Distribution: HOME PURCHASE | | Geography: TEXAS 2012 | | | | | Evaluation Period: JANUARY 1, 2012 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012 | | | | | Market Share * | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|---|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------|---------|----------------|-------|------|-------|--|
| Assessment Area: | Total Home Purchase Loans | | Low-Income Borrowers | | Moderate-Income Borrowers | | Middle-Income Borrowers | | Upper-Income Borrowers | | Overall | Low | Mod | Mid | Upp | |
| | # | % of Total** | % Families *** | % BANK Loans **** | % Families ⁴ | % BANK Loans **** | % Families *** | % BANK Loans **** | % Families *** | % BANK Loans **** | | | | | | |
| Full Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Abilene MSA | 368 | 96.08 | 21.18 | 4.15 | 17.40 | 14.54 | 21.18 | 12.76 | 40.25 | 68.55 | 14.90 | 11.29 | 13.03 | 7.21 | 19.78 | |
| Limited Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Odessa MSA | 8 | 2.09 | 24.43 | 0.00 | 15.69 | 12.50 | 19.16 | 12.50 | 40.72 | 75.00 | 0.37 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.16 | 0.61 | |
| Shackelford County | 7 | 1.83 | 15.54 | 0.00 | 16.20 | 0.00 | 21.12 | 0.00 | 47.14 | 100.00 | 43.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 63.64 | |

* Based on 2012 Peer Mortgage Data (USPR)

** Home purchase loans originated and purchased in the MA/AA as a percentage of all home purchase loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

*** Percentage of Families is based on the 2010 Census information.

**** As a percentage of loans with borrower income information available. No information was available for 8.1% of loans originated and purchased by bank.

⁴ Data shown includes only One to Four-family and manufactured housing. (Property type of 1 or 2)

Table 9. Borrower Distribution of Home Improvement Loans

| Borrower Distribution: HOME IMPROVEMENT | | | Geography: TEXAS 2012 | | | | Evaluation Period: JANUARY 1, 2012 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012 | | | | | Market Share* | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---|------------------|------------------------|------------------|---------|---------------|--------|--------|-------|--|
| Assessment Area: | Total Home Improvement Loans | | Low-Income Borrowers | | Moderate-Income Borrowers | | Middle-Income Borrowers | | Upper-Income Borrowers | | Overall | Low | Mod | Mid | Upp | |
| | # | % of Total** | % Families*** | % BANK Loans**** | % Families ⁵ | % BANK Loans**** | % Families*** | % BANK Loans**** | % Families*** | % BANK Loans**** | | | | | | |
| Full Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Abilene MSA | 230 | 94.65 | 21.18 | 7.24 | 17.40 | 16.29 | 21.18 | 24.89 | 40.25 | 51.58 | 60.71 | 57.14 | 67.92 | 63.95 | 57.87 | |
| Limited Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Odessa MSA | 1 | 0.41 | 24.43 | 0.00 | 15.69 | 0.00 | 19.16 | 0.00 | 40.72 | 100.00 | 1.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.82 | |
| Shackelford County | 12 | 4.94 | 15.54 | 0.00 | 16.20 | 25.00 | 21.12 | 33.33 | 47.14 | 41.67 | 92.31 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 83.33 | |

* Based on 2012 Peer Mortgage Data (USPR)

** Home improvement loans originated and purchased in the MA/AA as a percentage of all home improvement loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

*** Percentage of Families is based on the 2010 Census information.

**** As a percentage of loans with borrower income information available. No information was available for 3.7% of loans originated and purchased by bank.

⁵ Data shown includes only One to Four-family and manufactured housing. (Property type of 1 or 2)

Table 10. Borrower Distribution of Home Mortgage Refinance Loans

| Borrower Distribution: HOME MORTGAGE REFINANCE | | Geography: TEXAS 2012 | | | | | | Evaluation Period: JANUARY 1, 2012 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012 | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|---|---------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------|-------|--------|-------|
| Assessment Area: | Total Home Mortgage Refinance Loans | | Low-Income Borrowers | | Moderate-Income Borrowers | | Middle-Income Borrowers | | Upper-Income Borrowers | | Market Share [*] | | | | |
| | # | % of Total ^{**} | % Families ^{***} | % BANK Loans ^{****} | % Families ⁶ | % BANK Loans ^{****} | % Families ^{***} | % BANK Loans ^{****} | % Families ^{***} | % BANK Loans ^{****} | Overall | Low | Mod | Mid | Upp |
| Full Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Abilene MSA | 319 | 96.96 | 21.18 | 2.48 | 17.40 | 7.45 | 21.18 | 17.73 | 40.25 | 72.34 | 18.14 | 8.97 | 11.86 | 15.97 | 20.64 |
| Limited Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Odessa MSA | 3 | 0.91 | 24.43 | 0.00 | 15.69 | 0.00 | 19.16 | 0.00 | 40.72 | 100.00 | 0.43 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.66 |
| Shackelford County | 7 | 2.13 | 15.54 | 0.00 | 16.20 | 0.00 | 21.12 | 42.86 | 47.14 | 57.14 | 38.89 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 28.57 |

^{*} Based on 2012 Peer Mortgage Data (USPR)

^{**} Home refinance loans originated and purchased in the MA/AA as a percentage of all home refinance loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

^{***} Percentage of Families is based on the 2010 Census information.

^{****} As a percentage of loans with borrower income information available. No information was available for 11.2% of loans originated and purchased by bank.

⁶ Data shown includes only One to Four-family and manufactured housing. (Property type of 1 or 2)

Table 11. Borrower Distribution of Small Loans to Businesses

| Borrower Distribution: SMALL LOANS TO BUSINESSES | | | Geography: TEXAS 2012 | | Evaluation Period: JANUARY 1, 2012 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012 | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|--------------|---|-------------------|---|-------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| Assessment Area: | Total Small Loans to Businesses | | Businesses With Revenues of \$1 million or less | | Loans by Original Amount Regardless of Business Size | | | Market Share * | |
| | # | % of Total** | % of Businesses *** | % BANK Loans **** | \$100,000 or less | >\$100,000 to \$250,000 | >\$250,000 to \$1,000,000 | All | Rev \$1 Million or Less |
| Full Review: | | | | | | | | | |
| Abilene MSA | 573 | 86.95 | 71.56 | 41.54 | 81.33 | 8.90 | 9.77 | 20.52 | 22.90 |
| Limited Review: | | | | | | | | | |
| Odessa MSA | 10 | 1.52 | 71.62 | 30.00 | 80.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 0.40 | 0.53 |
| Shackelford County | 76 | 11.53 | 68.55 | 39.47 | 88.16 | 10.53 | 1.32 | 50.66 | 57.58 |

* Based on 2012 Peer Small Business Data -- US and PR

** Small loans to businesses originated and purchased in the MA/AA as a percentage of all small loans to businesses originated and purchased in the rated area.

*** Businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less as a percentage of all businesses (Source D&B - 2012).

**** Small loans to businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less as a percentage of all loans reported as small loans to businesses. No information was available for 23.98% of small loans to businesses originated and purchased by the bank.

Table 12. Borrower Distribution of Small Loans to Farms

| Borrower Distribution: SMALL LOANS TO FARMS | | Geography: TEXAS 2012 | | | Evaluation Period: JANUARY 1, 2012 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012 | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|-----------------------|--|------------------|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| Assessment Area: | Total Small Loans to Farms | | Farms With Revenues of \$1 million or less | | Loans by Original Amount Regardless of Farm Size | | | Market Share * | |
| | # | % of Total** | % of Farms*** | % BANK Loans**** | \$100,000 or less | >\$100,000 to \$250,000 | >\$250,000 to \$500,000 | All | Rev \$1 Million or Less |
| Full Review: | | | | | | | | | |
| Abilene MSA | 131 | 79.88 | 98.46 | 45.80 | 84.73 | 10.69 | 4.58 | 40.88 | 33.50 |
| Limited Review: | | | | | | | | | |
| Odessa MSA | 0 | 0.00 | 98.57 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Shackelford County | 33 | 20.12 | 100.00 | 33.33 | 87.88 | 9.09 | 3.03 | 80.00 | 60.87 |

* Based on 2012 Peer Small Business Data -- US and PR

** Small loans to farms originated and purchased in the MA/AA as a percentage of all small loans to farms originated and purchased in the rated area.

*** Farms with revenues of \$1 million or less as a percentage of all farms (Source D&B - 2012).

**** Small loans to farms with revenues of \$1 million or less as a percentage of all loans reported as small loans to farms. No information was available for 50.61% of small loans to farms originated and purchased by the bank.

Table 1. Lending Volume

| LENDING VOLUME | | Geography: TEXAS | | | | Evaluation Period: JANUARY 1, 2010 TO DECEMBER 31, 2011 | | | | | | |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|------------|---------------------------|------------|---|------------|-------------------------------|------------|----------------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|
| Assessment Area: | % of Rated Area Loans (#) in MA/AA* | Home Mortgage | | Small Loans to Businesses | | Small Loans to Farms | | Community Development Loans** | | Total Reported Loans | | % of Rated Area Deposits in MA/AA*** |
| | | # | \$ (000's) | # | \$ (000's) | # | \$ (000's) | # | \$ (000's) | # | \$(000's) | |
| Full Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Abilene MSA | 95.36 | 1,437 | 165,014 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,437 | 165,014 | 0.00 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Limited Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Shackelford County | 3.45 | 52 | 2,260 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 2,260 | 0.00 |
| Odessa MSA | 1.19 | 18 | 1,642 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 1,642 | 0.00 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

* Loan Data as of December 31, 2011. Rated area refers to either state or multi-state MA rating area.

** The evaluation period for Community Development Loans is from January 01, 2011 to December 31, 2011.

*** Deposit Data as of July 06, 2014. Rated Area refers to either the state, multi-state MA, or institution, as appropriate.

Table 2. Geographic Distribution of Home Purchase Loans

| Geographic Distribution: HOME PURCHASE | | | Geography: TEXAS | | | | Evaluation Period: JANUARY 1, 2010 TO DECEMBER 31, 2011 | | | | | Market Share (%) by Geography* | | | | |
|--|---------------------------|--------------|------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|---|--------------|--------------------------|--------------|---------|--------------------------------|------|------|-----|--|
| Assessment Area: | Total Home Purchase Loans | | Low-Income Geographies | | Moderate-Income Geographies | | Middle-Income Geographies | | Upper-Income Geographies | | Overall | Low | Mod | Mid | Upp | |
| | # | % of Total** | % Owner Occ Units*** | % BANK Loans**** | % Owner Occ Units*** | % BANK Loans | % Owner Occ Units*** | % BANK Loans | % Owner Occ Units*** | % BANK Loans | | | | | | |
| Full Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Abilene MSA | 639 | 98.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 17.54 | 5.01 | 54.63 | 36.15 | 27.83 | 58.84 | 14.07 | 0 | 8.45 | 12.4 | 16 | |
| Limited Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Shackelford County | 8 | 1.23 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 33.33 | 0 | 33.3 | 0.00 | 0 | |
| Odessa MSA | 5 | 0.77 | 2.25 | 0.00 | 15.94 | 0.00 | 43.46 | 40.00 | 38.35 | 60.00 | 0.22 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.38 | 0.2 | |

* Based on 2011 Peer Mortgage Data (USPR)

** Home purchase loans originated and purchased in the MA/AA as a percentage of all home purchase loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

*** Percentage of Owner Occupied Units is the number of owner occupied units in a particular geography divided by the number of owner occupied housing units in the area based on 2000 Census information.

**** Data shown includes only One to Four-family and manufactured housing. (Property type of 1 or 2)

Table 3. Geographic Distribution of Home Improvement Loans

| Geographic Distribution: HOME IMPROVEMENT | | | Geography: TEXAS | | | | Evaluation Period: JANUARY 1, 2010 TO DECEMBER 31, 2011 | | | | | Market Share (%) by Geography * | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|--------------|------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|---|--------------|--------------------------|--------------|---------|---------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Assessment Area: | Total Home Improvement Loans | | Low-Income Geographies | | Moderate-Income Geographies | | Middle-Income Geographies | | Upper-Income Geographies | | Overall | Low | Mod | Mid | Upp | |
| | # | % of Total** | % Owner Occ Units*** | % BANK Loans**** | % Owner Occ Units*** | % BANK Loans | % Owner Occ Units*** | % BANK Loans | % Owner Occ Units*** | % BANK Loans | | | | | | |
| Full Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Abilene MSA | 344 | 89.82 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 17.54 | 12.79 | 54.63 | 46.80 | 27.83 | 40.41 | 58.57 | 0.00 | 46.67 | 59.04 | 61.87 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Limited Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Shackelford County | 34 | 8.88 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | | | | | |
| Odessa MSA | 5 | 1.30 | 2.25 | 20.00 | 15.94 | 0.00 | 43.46 | 40.00 | 38.35 | 40.00 | 4.05 | 50.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.88 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

* Based on 2011 Peer Mortgage Data (USPR)

** Home improvement loans originated and purchased in the MA/AA as a percentage of all home improvement loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

*** Percentage of Owner Occupied Units is the number of owner occupied units in a particular geography divided by the number of owner occupied housing units in the area based on 2000 Census information.

**** Data shown includes only One to Four-family and manufactured housing. (Property type of 1 or 2)

Table 4. Geographic Distribution of Home Mortgage Refinance Loans

| Geographic Distribution: HOME MORTGAGE REFINANCE | | | Geography: TEXAS | | | | Evaluation Period: JANUARY 1, 2010 TO DECEMBER 31, 2011 | | | | | Market Share (%) by Geography* | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------|------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|---|--------------|--------------------------|--------------|---------|--------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Assessment Area: | Total Home Mortgage Refinance Loans | | Low-Income Geographies | | Moderate-Income Geographies | | Middle-Income Geographies | | Upper-Income Geographies | | Overall | Low | Mod | Mid | Upp | |
| | # | % of Total** | % Owner Occ Units*** | % BANK Loans**** | % Owner Occ Units*** | % BANK Loans | % Owner Occ Units*** | % BANK Loans | % Owner Occ Units*** | % BANK Loans | | | | | | |
| Full Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Abilene MSA | 454 | 96.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 17.54 | 5.73 | 54.63 | 33.48 | 27.83 | 60.79 | 11.49 | 0.00 | 13.71 | 13.01 | 10.27 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Limited Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Shackelford County | 10 | 2.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | | | | | |
| Odessa MSA | 8 | 1.69 | 2.25 | 0.00 | 15.94 | 0.00 | 43.46 | 25.00 | 38.35 | 75.00 | 0.54 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.95 | 0.40 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

* Based on 2011 Peer Mortgage Data (USPR)

** Home refinance loans originated and purchased in the MA/AA as a percentage of all home refinance loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

*** Percentage of Owner Occupied Units is the number of owner occupied units in a particular geography divided by the number of owner occupied housing units in the area based on 2000 Census information.

**** Data shown includes only One to Four-family and manufactured housing. (Property type of 1 or 2)

Table 8. Borrower Distribution of Home Purchase Loans

| Borrower Distribution: HOME PURCHASE | | Geography: TEXAS | | | | | Evaluation Period: JANUARY 1, 2010 TO DECEMBER 31, 2011 | | | | | Market Share * | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|---|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------|---------|----------------|------|-------|-------|--|
| Assessment Area: | Total Home Purchase Loans | | Low-Income Borrowers | | Moderate-Income Borrowers | | Middle-Income Borrowers | | Upper-Income Borrowers | | Overall | Low | Mod | Mid | Upp | |
| | # | % of Total** | % Families *** | % BANK Loans **** | % Families ⁷ | % BANK Loans **** | % Families | % BANK Loans **** | % Families | % BANK Loans **** | | | | | | |
| Full Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Abilene MSA | 639 | 98.00 | 19.15 | 3.76 | 18.23 | 11.58 | 23.78 | 20.66 | 38.84 | 58.84 | 15.71 | 10.34 | 9.94 | 12.63 | 19.74 | |
| Limited Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Shackelford County | 8 | 1.23 | 16.58 | 12.50 | 19.66 | 12.50 | 22.21 | 12.50 | 41.55 | 62.50 | | | | | | |
| Odessa MSA | 5 | 0.77 | 21.22 | 0.00 | 17.50 | 0.00 | 21.98 | 20.00 | 39.30 | 80.00 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.41 | |

* Based on 2011 Peer Mortgage Data (USPR)

** Home purchase loans originated and purchased in the MA/AA as a percentage of all home purchase loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

*** Percentage of Families is based on the 2000 Census information.

**** As a percentage of loans with borrower income information available. No information was available for 0.0% of loans originated and purchased by bank.

⁷ Data shown includes only One to Four-family and manufactured housing. (Property type of 1 or 2)

Table 9. Borrower Distribution of Home Improvement Loans

| Borrower Distribution: HOME IMPROVEMENT | | Geography: TEXAS | | | | | | | | Evaluation Period: JANUARY 1, 2010 TO DECEMBER 31, 2011 | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|---------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Assessment Area: | Total Home Improvement Loans | | Low-Income Borrowers | | Moderate-Income Borrowers | | Middle-Income Borrowers | | Upper-Income Borrowers | | Market Share* | | | | |
| | # | % of Total** | % Families*** | % BANK Loans**** | % Families ⁸ | % BANK Loans**** | % Families*** | % BANK Loans**** | % Families*** | % BANK Loans**** | Overall | Low | Mod | Mid | Upp |
| Full Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Abilene MSA | 344 | 89.82 | 19.15 | 8.14 | 18.23 | 14.24 | 23.78 | 18.90 | 38.84 | 56.10 | 59.52 | 66.67 | 57.14 | 54.17 | 61.33 |
| Limited Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Shackelford County | 34 | 8.88 | 16.58 | 2.94 | 19.66 | 20.59 | 22.21 | 20.59 | 41.55 | 52.94 | | | | | |
| Odessa MSA | 5 | 1.30 | 21.22 | 0.00 | 17.50 | 0.00 | 21.98 | 40.00 | 39.30 | 60.00 | 4.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 15.38 | 2.08 |

* Based on 2011 Peer Mortgage Data (USPR)

** Home improvement loans originated and purchased in the MA/AA as a percentage of all home improvement loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

*** Percentage of Families is based on the 2000 Census information.

**** As a percentage of loans with borrower income information available. No information was available for 0.0% of loans originated and purchased by bank.

⁸ Data shown includes only One to Four-family and manufactured housing. (Property type of 1 or 2)

Table 10. Borrower Distribution of Home Mortgage Refinance Loans

| Borrower Distribution: HOME MORTGAGE REFINANCE | | | Geography: TEXAS | | | | | | Evaluation Period: JANUARY 1, 2010 TO DECEMBER 31, 2011 | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------|----------------------|------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------------|---|------------------|---------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Assessment Area: | Total Home Mortgage Refinance Loans | | Low-Income Borrowers | | Moderate-Income Borrowers | | Middle-Income Borrowers | | Upper-Income Borrowers | | Market Share* | | | | |
| | # | % of Total** | % Families*** | % BANK Loans**** | % Families ⁹ | % BANK Loans**** | % Families*** | % BANK Loans**** | % Families*** | % BANK Loans**** | Overall | Low | Mod | Mid | Upp |
| Full Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Abilene MSA | 454 | 96.19 | 19.15 | 1.32 | 18.23 | 5.73 | 23.78 | 17.62 | 38.84 | 70.04 | 15.39 | 12.50 | 5.16 | 17.13 | 16.94 |
| Limited Review: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Shackelford County | 10 | 2.12 | 16.58 | 0.00 | 19.66 | 0.00 | 22.21 | 60.00 | 41.55 | 40.00 | | | | | |
| Odessa MSA | 8 | 1.69 | 21.22 | 0.00 | 17.50 | 0.00 | 21.98 | 37.50 | 39.30 | 62.50 | 0.68 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.76 | 0.84 |

* Based on 2011 Peer Mortgage Data (USPR)

** Home refinance loans originated and purchased in the MA/AA as a percentage of all home refinance loans originated and purchased in the rated area.

*** Percentage of Families is based on the 2000 Census information.

**** As a percentage of loans with borrower income information available. No information was available for 0.0% of loans originated and purchased by bank.

⁹ Data shown includes only One to Four-family and manufactured housing. (Property type of 1 or 2)

